

Addendum #1

Request for Letter of Interest: Triangle Bikeway: General Engineering Consultant for Project Management Assistance

This Addendum, containing the following additions, clarifications, and/or changes, is issued prior to receipt of proposals and does hereby become part of the original RFLOI documents and supersedes the original RFLOI documents in case of conflict.

The following questions were submitted since Triangle Bikeway: General Engineering Consultant for Project Management Assistance was released on January 12, 2024. All parties are advised to fully review and note the following:

The RFLOI Submittal date and time remain unchanged.

The following questions have been submitted:

- **Question 1: What is CAMPO's budget for the General Engineering Consultant (GEC) services?**
 - *Response: This is envisioned to be a cost-plus contract. The budget would be dependent on the final negotiated scope with a selected firm.*

- **Question 2: Are the GEC services funded or is CAMPO still seeking funding?**
 - *Response: Yes, the services are funded.*

- **Question 3: Who will serve as CAMPO's contract officer for the GEC services?**
 - *Response: CAMPO Executive Director.*

- **Question 4: May we have a copy of the current Triangle Bikeway NEPA and Design Project contract to help us better understand the Scope of Work that we will be managing and providing oversight?**
 - *Response: Please see CAMPO Executive Board Meeting Attachments for [Item 5.5 on August 21, 2024](#)*

- **Question 5: Would the selected GEC firm be precluded from submitting on future Triangle Bikeway work outside of the current Triangle Bikeway NEPA and Design Project contract?**
 - *Response: Per guidance in federal circulars, the selected GEC firm would be precluded from submitting on future work on this section of the Triangle Bikeway. This should not preclude the firm from pursuing work on other sections of the Triangle Bikeway project that may be funded for design work in future years.*

- **Question 6: Will the selected GEC firm be performing any design services?**
 - *Response: No, it is not anticipated that the GEC will be performing design services.*

- **Question 7: Is CAMPO's goal for the selected GEC firm to serve as an extension of staff or as an advisor to staff?**
 - *Response: The intent is for the GEC firm to serve as an extension of staff to manage and advise on the project.*

- **Question 8: What rate structure will be used for the cost-plus compensation?**

Addendum #1

Request for Letter of Interest: Triangle Bikeway: General Engineering Consultant for Project Management Assistance

- *Response: We expect NCDOT approved rates for the work, per the NCDOT pre-qualification standards.*
- **Question 9: If we have exceptions to the contract language included in the RFLOI, does CAMPO have a preferred method for us to note those exceptions in our LOI?**
- *Response: Requested contract exceptions may be attached as an appendix to the LOI. Please note, requested contract exceptions are not guaranteed to be accepted in the event of proceeding with contract negotiations.*
- **Question 10: The submission schedule and key dates for the RFLOI does not indicate that an interview phase or that consultants will be shortlisted. Will firms be shortlisted and/or interviewed for the opportunity? If firms will be shortlisted and/or interviewed, can CAMPO provide an updated schedule?**
- *Response: Please Refer to page 3 of RFLOI. Shortlist/interviews may occur at the discretion of the MPO, and in that case, interviews could be conducted starting February 17.*