



Report No. FHWA-SA-08-011 U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

September 2008

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

Notice

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for use of the information contained in this document. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

Quality Assurance Statement

The Federal Highway Administration provides high-quality information to serve Government, industry, and the public in a manner that promotes public understanding. Standards and policies are used to ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of its information. FHWA periodically reviews quality issues and adjusts its programs and processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.



Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.: FHWA-SA-08-011		2. Government Accession No.:	3. Recipient's Catalog No .:			
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date: September 2008			
Desktop Reference for Crash Reducti	ion Factors		6. Performing Organization Code: iTRANS Consulting Ltd.			
7. Authors: Bahar, Geni; Masliah, Mau	urice; Wolff, Rhys; Park, Peter		8. Performing Organization Report No.: 7067			
9. Performing Organization Name and			10. Work Unit No.:			
iTRANS Consulting Ltd. 1220 L Street NW, #100-467 Washington, DC 2005-4018 (research)	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, 8300 Boone Blvd., Ste. 700 Vienna, VA 22182-2626 (editorial)		11. Contract or Grant No.: DTFH61-05-D-00024			
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Ad U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration (FHW Office of Safety			13. Type of Report and Period Covered: Information Guide Book January 2007 – September 2008			
1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590			14. Sponsoring Agency Code: FHWA/HSA			
15. Supplementary Notes: FHWA Offi	ce of Safety Contract Task Order	Manager Dr. Clayton Chen and I	Karen Yunk.			
16. Abstract. This Desktop Reference or group of countermeasures is implein pedestrian crashes. The estimates of available to date. Where available, the review the range of potential effective to consider site-specific environmenta of a countermeasure. This report is a	mented with respect to intersectio crash reduction are known as Cra e Desktop Reference includes mu ness. The CRFs are a useful as a II, traffic volume, traffic mix, geom	ons, roadway departure and other ash Reduction Factors (CRFs), an litiple CRFs for the same counter a guide, but it remains necessary etric, and operational conditions	non-intersection crashes, and nd represent the information measure to allow the reader to to apply engineering judgment and			
17. Key Words: crash reduction factor pedestrian crashes, roadway departur		18. Distribution Statement: No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 221				

20. Security Classifications (of this page): Unclassified	21. No. of Pages: 112	22. Price

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed pages authorized

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Table of Contents

<u>Forward</u>	2
Introduction	2
Tables for Intersection Crash Reduction Factors	
Table 1: Signalization Countermeasures	7
Table 2: Geometric Countermeasures	
Table 3: Signs / Markings / Operational Countermeasures	35
Tables for Roadway Departure Crash Reduction Factors	
Table 4: Barrier Countermeasures	48
Table 5: Bridge Countermeasures	
Table 6: Geometric Countermeasures	56
Table 7: Median Countermeasures	70
Table 8: Roadside Countermeasures	74
Table 9: Signs / Markings / Operational Countermeasures	
Tables for Pedestrian Crash Reduction Factors	
Table 10: Signalization Countermeasures	97
Table 11: Geometric Countermeasures	
Table 12: Signs / Markings / Operational Countermeasures	
<u>References</u>	

Forward

This report is a revision of Report FHWA-SA-07-015. This report includes hyperlinks to the source references, which may be found in the References section in the electronic version of this report.

Introduction

This Desktop Reference provides estimates of the crash reduction that might be expected if a specific countermeasure or group of countermeasures is implemented with respect to intersection crashes, roadway departure and other non-intersection crashes, and pedestrian crashes. The crash reduction estimates are known as Crash Reduction Factors (CRFs). The CRFs presented are the CRF information available to date. In some cases, the CRF is expressed as a Crash Reduction Function.

Where available, the Desktop Reference includes multiple CRFs for the same countermeasure to allow the reader to review the range of potential effectiveness. This Desktop Reference includes CRFs for which the reliability of the estimate is low, or very low. This approach is part of the philosophy of bringing together all the information available to date. (A few CRFs found in the literature were not included in the *Desktop Reference*. These CRFs were considered to have too large a range or too large a standard error to be meaningful, or the original research did not provide sufficient detail for the CRF to be useful.) The CRFs in this Desktop Reference may be periodically updated as new information becomes available.

Crash Reduction Factors

A CRF is the percentage crash reduction that might be expected after implementing a given countermeasure. (In some cases, the CRF is negative, i.e. the implementation of a countermeasure is expected to lead to a percentage increase in crashes.) A CRF should be regarded as a generic estimate of the effectiveness of a countermeasure. The estimate is a useful guide, but it remains necessary to apply engineering judgment and to consider site-specific environmental, traffic volume, traffic mix, geometric, and operational conditions which will affect the safety impact of a countermeasure. The user must ensure that a countermeasure applies to the particular conditions being considered. The reader is also encouraged to obtain and review the original source documents for more detailed information, and to search databases such as the National Transportation Library (ntlsearch.bts.gov) for information that becomes available after the publication of this Reference.

Traffic engineers and other transportation professionals can use the information contained in this issue brief when asking the following types of question: Which countermeasures might be considered at the signalized intersection of Maple and Elm streets, an intersection experiencing a high number of total crashes and left-turn crashes? What change in the number of total crashes and left-turn crashes can be expected with the implementation of the various countermeasures?

In the Tables presented in the Desktop Reference, CRFs are provided in the column "Crash Reduction Factor/Function." The standard error of the CRF is given where available in the column "Std Error." The standard error is the standard deviation of the error in the estimate of the CRF. The true value of the CRF is unknown. The standard error provides a measure of the precision of estimate of the true value of the CRF. A relatively small standard error indicates that a CRF is relatively precisely known. A relatively large standard error indicates that a CRF is not precisely known. The standard error may be used to estimate a confidence interval of the true value of the CRF. (An example of a confidence interval calculation is given below.)

As an example, the CRF for the countermeasure *install cameras to detect red-light running* for right-angle fatal/injury crashes is **16**. The following points should be noted:

- The CRF of 16 means that a 16% reduction in fatal/injury crashes is expected after the installation of red-light running cameras.
- This CRF is bolded which means that a) a rigorous study methodology was used to estimate the CRF, and b) the standard error is relatively small. A CRF which is not bolded indicates that a less rigorous methodology (e.g. a simple before-after study) was used to estimate the CRF, and/or the standard error is large compared with the CRF.
- The standard error for this CRF is 6. Using the standard error, it is possible to calculate the 95% confidence interval for the potential crash reduction that might be achieved by implementing the countermeasure. The 95% confidence interval is ± 2 standard errors from the CRF. Therefore, the 95% confidence interval for the installation of red-light running cameras is between 4% and 28% (16 2×6 = 4%, and 16 + 2×6 = 28%).
- The reference number is 45 (Persaud et al., as listed in the References at the end of this Desktop Reference).

Crash Reduction Functions

In some cases, a CRF is given in the form of a function. As an example of a function, consider the countermeasure "Vary truck presence" at 4-leg signalized intersections on rural highways. This function is shown in Table 3. The study was conducted by Bonneson et al.

The function for "Vary truck presence" is:

U.S. Department of Transportation

$$CRF = 100 \times [1 - e^{(0.026 \times (Pt-9))}]$$

Where Pt = percent trucks during the peak hour (average for all intersection movements)

The value of 9 in the function reflects the base condition: 9% trucks at 4-leg signalized intersections during the peak hour on rural highways (average for all intersection movements). If, for example, a practitioner wants to know the safety effect of decreasing the truck presence to 7%, then the resulting CRF value from the function would be $5 (=100 \times (1-e^{(0.026 \times (7-9))}))$. The CRF value of 5 suggests that crash frequency is reduced by about 5% for a 2 percentage point decrease in truck presence (from 9% to 7%).

Using the Tables

Twelve Tables of CRFs are provided in this Reference. The Tables are grouped under intersection, roadway departure, and pedestrian crashes, and summarize the information available. The Tables include as much information as is available for each CRF.

The Tables for intersection CRFs contain the following information (where available) for each countermeasure: crash type, crash severity, area type, configuration, control, major road daily traffic volume (vehicles/day), minor road daily traffic volume (vehicles/day), reference, number of intersections observed, crash reduction factor/function, standard error, range, and study type.

The Tables for roadway departure CRFs contain the following information (where available) for each countermeasure: crash type, crash severity, area type, road type, maximum daily traffic volume (vehicles/day), minimum daily traffic volume (vehicles/day), reference, crash reduction factor/function, standard error, range, and study type.

The Tables for pedestrian CRFs contain the following information (where available) for each countermeasure: crash type, crash severity, area type, reference, crash reduction factor/function, standard error, range, and study type.

The following points should be noted:

- The crash severities are: all, fatal/injury (fatal and injury crashes combined), fatal, injury, or property damage only (PDO).
- Where available, the Tables provide existing traffic control information (i.e. the conditions existing before implementation of a countermeasure). The control information for the pre-countermeasure study site may be "no signal," "signal," "stop," or "stop/yield." "No signal" is used when a publication specifies that the intersection was not signalized before the countermeasure was introduced, but does not provide details. (In these cases, the intersection could have yield or stop signs, or no controls at all.) Where the original study is not clear, or omits to give the information, the cell is left blank.

- Road type information (for roadway departure countermeasures) uses the following road types (where available): all, multilane, multilane divided, arterial, highway, or freeway. Where the original study was not clear, or omitted to give the information, the cell is left blank or the study's wording is used.
- In the observed column, a higher number of intersections/sites usually corresponds with a more reliable estimate of the safety effectiveness.
- For some countermeasures, a range of safety effectiveness is provided in the Range Low and Range High columns.
- The study type refers to the methodology used in the CRF study.
- A blank cell means that no information is reported in the source document.
- The following abbreviations appear in the Tables:
 - \circ App = Approaches
 - Avg = Average
 - Config = Configuration
 - \circ EB = Empirical Bayes
 - Emerg = Emergency
 - Max = Maximum
 - \circ Min = Minimum
 - Obs = Number of observed intersections
 - PDO = Property Damage Only
 - \circ Ped = Pedestrian
 - Ref = Reference
 - \circ ROR = Run-Off-Road
 - \circ Std Error = Standard Error
- For additional information, please visit the FHWA Office of Safety website <u>safety.fhwa.dot.gov</u>.

Tables for Intersection Crash Reduction Factors



Table 1: Signalization Countermeasures



						Major	Minor			Effecti				Clashes
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std		nge	Study Type
	Туре	Severity				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
				SIGNAL C	PERATION	S COUNTE	ERMEASI	JRES						•
Add all-red clearance		All			Signal			15		15				Cross-section
interval	Right- angle	All			Signal			15		30				Cross-section
Add all-red clearance interval (from 0 to 1 second)	Right- angle	All	Urban		Signal			47	6	0	44	-32	67	
Add exclusive pedestrian phasing	Ped	All			Signal			28		34		7	60	
Convert exclusive leading protected to	All	All			Signal			25		-15	19			Simple Before-After
exclusive lagging protected	Left-turn	All			Signal			25		-49	54			Simple Before-After
Convert permissive or permissive/protected	All	All			Signal			62		1	7			Empirical Before-After/ Expert Panel
to protected only left- turn phasing	Left-turn	All			Signal			62		99	1			Empirical Before-After/ Expert Panel
Convert permissive	All	All			Signal			62		0				Expert Panel
to permissive/protected left-turn phasing	Left-turn	All			Signal			62		16	2			Expert Panel
	All	All			Signal			25		-20	17			Comparison Group Before- After
Convert protected left-turn phase to	All	Fatal/Injury			Signal			25		-10	25			Comparison Group Before- After
protected/permissive	Left-turn	All			Signal			25		-65	71			Comparison Group Before- After
	Rear-end	All			Signal			25		4	22			Comparison Group Before- After

						Major	Minor			Effect	veness		Clashes
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic (veh/day)	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function		nge High	Study Type
Convert protected/permissive	All	All			Signal			29		13	19		Simple Before-After
left-turn phase to permissive/protected	Left-turn	All			Signal			29		33	22		Simple Before-After
	All	All		4-Leg	Signal			49		8	9		Experimental Design (Case-
	All	All		4-Leg	Signal			39	20	18			Control Study)
	All	Fatal/Injury		4-Leg	Signal			49		12	9		Experimental Design (Case- Control Study)
	Head-on	Fatal/Injury			Signal			15		75			Simple Before-After
Improve signal timing	Left-turn	All			Signal			15		75			
[to intervals specified by the ITE	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury			Signal			15		55			Simple Before-After
Determining Vehicle Change Intervals: A	Left-turn	PDO			Signal			15		63			Simple Before-After
Proposed Recommended Practice (1985)]	Multi- vehicle	All	All		Signal			21	40	5			Comparison Group Before After
	Multi- vehicle	Fatal/Injury	All		Signal			21	40	9			Comparison Group Before- After
	ROR	Fatal/Injury			Signal			15		62			Simple Before-After
	ROR	PDO			Signal			15		28			Simple Before-After
	Older- driver	All		4-Leg	Signal			39	20	42			
	Rear-end	All		4-Leg	Signal			49		-12	16		Experimental Design (Case- Control Study)

						Major	Minor			Effect	veness	6		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Rai	nge	Study Type
	Туре	Seventy				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	Rear-end	Fatal/Injury		4-Leg	Signal			49		-8	17			Experimental Design (Case- Control Study)
	Rear-end	PDO			Signal			15		17				Simple Before-After
Improve signal timing [to intervals specified by the ITE Determining Vehicle	Right- angle	All		4-Leg	Signal			49		4	18			Experimental Design (Case- Control Study)
Change Intervals: A Proposed	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury			Signal			15		30				Simple Before-After
<i>Recommended Practice</i> (1985)] (cont'd)	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury		4-Leg	Signal			49		-6	22			Experimental Design (Case- Control Study)
	Right- angle	PDO			Signal			15		46				Simple Before-After
	Ped	Fatal/Injury			Signal			49		37				Comparison Group Before- After
Increase yellow	All	All			Signal			15		15				Cross-section
change interval	Right- angle	All			Signal			15		30				Cross-section
Install emergency vehicle pre-emption systems	Emerg vehicle	All						51		70				
	All	All			Signal			15		20				
	All	All						15		25				
Install pedestrian	All	All						15		15				
signal	Ped	All			Signal			15		53				
Signal	Ped	All			Signal			5		0				
	Ped	All						15		55				
	Ped	All						15		50				

						Major Minor			Effect	iveness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	nge	Study Type
	Туре	Severity	,	e e g		Volume (veh/day)		0.00	Factor / Function	Error	 High	
Modify signal phasing (implement a leading pedestrian interval)	Ped	All			Signal		28		5			
Provide actuated	Left-turn	All			Signal		15		80			Cross-section
signals	Right- angle	All			Signal		15		10			Cross-section
Provide Advanced Dilemma Zone Detection for rural high speed approaches	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal		61	5	39			Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal	<5,000/lane(Total)	15		30			Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal	>5,000/lane(Total)	15		36			Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal		15		15			Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal		15		25			Cross-section
	All	All			Signal		15		30			Simple Before-After
Provide protected left-	All	All			Signal		15		27			
turn phase	Left-turn	All			Signal	<5,000/lane(Total)	15		41			Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All			Signal	>5,000/lane(Total)	15		46			Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All			Signal		15		35			Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All			Signal		15		70			Cross-section
	Left-turn	All			Signal		15		48			
	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal		31	30	16	2		EB Before- After

						Major	Minor			Effect	veness	5		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal			31	30	19	2			EB Before- After
	Overturn	All			Signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		27				Simple Before-After
	Overturn	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		35				Simple Before-After
	Overturn	All			Signal			15		31				
	Ped	All			Signal			28		5				
Provide protected left-	Rear-end	All			Signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		27				Simple Before-After
turn phase (cont'd)	Rear-end	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		35				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	All			Signal			15		31				
	Right- angle	All			Signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		54				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		56				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			Signal			15		80				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			Signal			15		63				
Provide protected/permissive left-turn phase	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal			31	15	16	4			EB Before- After
(leading flashing green) (Request MUTCD Experimentation)	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal			31	15	12	4			EB Before- After
Provide protected left-	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal			31	20	17	2			EB Before- After
turn phase (leading green arrow)	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal			31	20	25	2			EB Before- After
	All	All	All		Signal			1		15				
Provide signal	All	All			Signal			28		16				
coordination	All	All	Arizona		Signal			3		7				
	Right- angle	All			Signal			28		32		25	38	

·						Major	Minor			Effecti				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std		nge	Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume (veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
Provide split phases	All	All			Signal			28		25				
	All	All			Signal			28		29				
Remove flash mode (late night/early	Right- angle	All			Signal			47	17	75	19	29	100	Simple Before-After
morning)	Right- angle	All			Signal			28		80				
Replace existing WALK / DON'T WALK signals with pedestrian countdown signal heads	Ped	All	Urban (San Francisco)		Signal			32		25				
SIGNAL HARDWARE	COUNTER	MEASURES	6											
Add 3-inch yellow retroreflective sheeting to signal backplates	All	All	Urban		Signal			54		15	51			EB Before- After
Add additional signal and upgrade to 12-	Older- driver	All		4-Leg	Signal			39	33	31				
inch lenses	Younger- driver	All		4-Leg	Signal			39	33	17				
	All	All			Signal			28		10				
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg	Signal			14	63	28		20	30	EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg	Signal			14	63	17		10	25	EB Before- After
Add signal (additional primary head)	All	PDO	Urban	4-Leg	Signal			14	63	31		30	35	EB Before- After
	Rear-end	All	Urban	4-Leg	Signal			14	63	28		0	45	EB Before- After
	Right- angle	All			Signal			28		42				
	Right- angle	All	Urban	4-Leg	Signal			14	63	35		15	45	EB Before- After

		Quart				Major Minor			Effecti	veness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic	Ref	Obs			ange	Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume (veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error Low	High	
	All	All			Signal		51		49			
	All	All			Signal		35	6	25			Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal		35	33	32			Simple Before-After
Convert signal from	All	All			Signal		28		36	28	43	
pedestal-mounted to	All	Fatal/Injury			Signal		51		44			
mast arm	All	PDO			Signal		51		51			
	Left-turn	All			Signal		51		12			
	Rear-end	All			Signal		51		41			
	Right- angle	All			Signal		51		74			
	Right- angle	All			Signal		35	6	63			Simple Before-After
Improve visibility of signal heads	All	All	Urban		Signal		52	224	7			EB Before- After
(increase signal lens size, install new	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal		52	224	3			EB Before- After
backboards, add reflective tape to	All	PDO	Urban		Signal		52	224	9			EB Before- After
existing backboards, and/or install	Day	All	Urban		Signal		52	224	6			EB Before- After
additional signal heads)	Night	All	Urban		Signal		52	224	6			EB Before- After
Improve visibility of signal heads (install	All	All			Signal		28		9			
two red displays in each head)	Right- angle	All			Signal		28		36			
	All	All	All		Signal		1		10			
	All	All			Signal		28		11			
	All	All			Signal		15		10			
Install larger signal lenses (12 inch)	All	All			Signal		15		10			Cross-section
	All	All			Signal		28		11	10	12	
	All	All	Urban		Signal		54		24			Cross-section

· ·		a i				Major Minor			Effect	veness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction		ange	Study Type
	туре	Geventy				Volume (veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error Low	High	
Install larger signal	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal		54		16			Cross-section
Install larger signal lenses (12 inch) (cont'd)	Right- angle	All			Signal		47	44	46	-89	100	Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			Signal		28		48			
Install signal	All	All			Signal		28		13	2	24	
backplates only	Right- angle	All			Signal		28		50	7	93	
Install signal backplates (or	Right- angle	All			Signal		15		20			
visors)	Right- angle	All			Signal		15		20			Cross-section
	All	All			No signal	<5,000/lane(Total)	15		38			Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal	>5,000/lane(Total)	15		20			Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal		28		33	20	45	
	Left-turn	All			No signal		43	447	38			Simple Before-After
	Right-turn	All			No signal		43	447	50			Simple Before-After
	All	All	Rural		No signal		43	447	15			Simple Before-After
Install signals	All	Fatal			No signal		43	447	38			Simple Before-After
install signals	Rear-end	All			No signal		43	447	-48			Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			No signal		43	447	29			Simple Before-After
	All	All	Urban		No signal		43	447	17			Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal		15		22			
	All	All			No signal		15		15			Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal		15		13			Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal		15		20			Simple Before-After

						Major	Minor			Effecti	venes	S		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily		Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction			nge	Study Type
	Туре	Severity		<u> </u>		Volume (Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	All			No signal			15		25				Cross-section
	All	All			No signal			15		20				Simple
		,			i to olgilai	44 750	000			20				Before-After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Stop	11,750- 42,000	900- 4,000	34		14	32			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg	Stop	12,650-	2,400-	34		23	22			EB Before-
	7.01	r atal/nijary	Orban	- L09	Otop	22,400	3,625	04		20	~~~			After
	Overturn	All			No signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		22				Simple
						//	(—))							Before-After Simple
	Overturn	All			No signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		20				Before-After
	Rear-end	All			No signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		22				Simple
		7.11			No signa	10,000/10	no(rotal)	10						Before-After
	Rear-end	All			No signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		20				Simple Before-After
					•	11,750-	900-							EB Before-
	Rear-end	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Stop	42,000	4,000	34		-50	51			After
	Rear-end	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg	Stop	12,650- 22,400		34		-38	39			EB Before- After
Install signals	Right-													Simple
(cont'd)	angle	All			No signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		74				Before-After
	Right-	All			No signal	<u> </u>	no(Total)	15		43				Simple
	angle	All			NU SIGNAI	>3,000/la	ne(10tal)	10		43				Before-After
	Right- angle	All			No signal			15		58				
	Right-	All			No signal			15		60				Simple
	angle	7.11			No signa			10		00				Before-After
	Right- angle	All			No signal			15		42				Simple Before-After
	Right-													
	angle	All			No signal			15		65				Cross-section
	Right-	All			No signal			15		65				Simple
	angle				NO SIGNA			10		00				Before-After
	Right- angle	All			No signal			28		68				
	Right-													Simple
	angle	All			No signal			47	8	74	66	56	100	Before-After
	Right-	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Stop	11,750-	900-	34		34	45			EB Before-
	angle	i atai/injury	Orban	J-Ley	Stop	42,000	4,000	54		04	Ъ			After

						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	5		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	-	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std		nge	Study Type
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
Install signals	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg	Stop	12,650- 22,400	2,400- 3,625	34		67	20			EB Before- After
(cont'd)	All	PDO			No signal			43	447	-15				Simple Before-After
	Head-on	PDO			No signal			15		83				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	PDO			No signal			15		11				Simple Before-After
Install signals (temporary)	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury			No signal			15		39				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	PDO			No signal			15		73				Simple Before-After
	Sideswipe	Fatal/Injury			No signal			15		50				Simple Before-After
Install signals (to have one over each approach lane)	Right- angle	All	All					35		46				Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal			15		75				
	All	All			Signal			15		100				Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal			15		50				Cross-section
	All	All			Signal			15		75				Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal			28		52		50	53	
Remove	All	All	Urban		Signal			21	199	24				EB Before- After
unwarranted signals	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal			21	199	53				EB Before- After
	All	PDO	Urban		Signal			21	199	24				EB Before- After
	Day	All	Urban		Signal			21	199	22				EB Before- After
	Fixed- object	All	Urban		Signal			21	199	31				EB Before- After
	Night	All	Urban		Signal			21	199	30				EB Before- After
	Rear-end	All			Signal			15		95		90	100	

·						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	6		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic (veh/day)	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	Rear-end	All			Signal			15		100			5	Simple Before-After
Remove unwarranted signals	Rear-end	All			Signal			15		90				Cross-section
(cont'd)	Rear-end	All	Urban		Signal			21	199	29				EB Before- After
	Right- angle	All	Urban		Signal			21	199	24				EB Before- After
	All	All			Signal			28		17		15	18	
	All	All			Signal			15		15				
	All	All			Signal			15		15				Cross-section
Replace signal lenses with optical	Head-on	All			Signal			15		20				Cross-section
lenses	Left-turn	All			Signal			15		10				Cross-section
	Rear-end	All			Signal			15		10				Cross-section
	Right- angle	All			Signal			15		10				Cross-section
			COMBI	NATION S	IGNAL AND	OTHER C	OUNTER	MEAS	SURE	S				
Install left-turn lane and add turn phase	All	All			Signal			28		58		46	69	
	Head-on	PDO			No signal			15		27				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	PDO			No signal			15		24				Simple Before-After
Install signals and	ROR	Fatal/Injury			No signal			15		35				Simple Before-After
add channelization	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury			No signal			15		67				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	PDO			No signal			15		63				Simple Before-After
		Fatal/Injury			No signal			15		54				Simple Before-After

, 						Major	Minor			Effect	veness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Volume (Traffic veh/day)		Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Range Low Hi	
				LEF	T-TURN COL	JNTERME	ASURES						•
	All	All			Stop	>34,000		59		18	8		Cross-section
	All	All			Stop	>34,000 4 lanes		59		-24	35		Cross-section
Add indirect left-turn	All	All			Stop	>34,000 6 lanes		59		26	8		Cross-section
treatments to minimize conflicts	All	All			Stop	>34,000 8 lanes		59		24	63		Cross-section
	All	Fatal/Injury			Stop	>34,000		59		27	12		Cross-section
	All	PDO			Stop	>34,000		59		6	11		Cross-section
Create directional median openings to allow left-turns and u-turns	All	All			Signal			51		51			
	All	All	All					1		25			
	All	All	Rural	3-Leg	Signal	4,200- 26,000	1,300- 11,400	22	199	15			Expert Panel
	All	All	Rural	3-Leg	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	22		44	6		EB Before- After
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,200- 26,000	1,300- 11,400	22	199	18			Expert Panel
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	22		28	3		EB Before- After
Install left-turn lane	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	22		48	3		EB Before- After
	All	All			No signal			15		34			
	All	All			No signal			15		35			Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal			15		35			Cross-section
	All	All			No signal			15		25			Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal			15		40			Simple Before-After

						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction		ange	Study Type
	турс	Oeventy				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error Low	High	
	All	All			No signal			28		33	25	41	
	All	All	Urban	3-Leg	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	22	199	7			Expert Panel
	All	All	Urban	3-Leg	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	22		33	12		EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		10	10		EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	22		27	3		EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		19	13		EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	22		47	4		EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	3-Leg	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	22		55	8		EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	22		35	3		EB Before- After
Install left-turn lane	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	22		58	4		EB Before- After
(cont'd)	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		9	1		EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	22		29	4		EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		17	2		EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	22		50	6		Comparison Group
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		30			
	Left-turn	All	Rural	3-Leg	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	21	35	62			Comparison Group Before- After
	Left-turn	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	21	23	37			EB Before- After
	Left-turn	All	Rural	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	21	23	60			EB Before- After
	Left-turn	All			No signal			15		55			
	Left-turn	All			No signal			15		55			Simple Before-After

·	0	Quart				Major	Minor			Effecti	veness			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref	Obs		Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	туре	Geventy				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	Left-turn	All			No signal			28		68		50	86	
	Left-turn	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ine(Total)	15		24				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	21	35	13				Yorked Comparison Before-After
Install left-turn lane	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	21	7	26				EB Before- After
(cont'd)	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	21	35	24				Yorked Comparison Before-After
	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	21	7	45				EB Before- After
	Night	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ine(Total)	15		28				Simple Before-After
	Overturn	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ine(Total)	15		28				Simple Before-After
	Head-on	Fatal/Injury						15		75				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury						15		47				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	PDO						15		71				Simple Before-After
	ROR	Fatal/Injury						15		8				Simple Before-After
Install left-turn lane	ROR	PDO						15		13				Simple Before-After
(double)	Rear-end	Fatal/Injury						15		29				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	PDO						15		32				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury						15		20				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	PDO						15		8				Simple Before-After
	Sideswipe	Fatal/Injury						15		50				Simple Before-After

·						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	6	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily T Volume (Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Range	Study Type
	All	All				<5,000/lai		15		50		Low Thgh	Simple Before-After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	3-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		22	14		Meta-analysis
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		-28	27		Meta-analysis
	All	PDO	Rural	3-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		20	19		Meta-analysis
	All	PDO	Rural	4-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		26	12		Meta-analysis
	Left-turn	All				<5,000/lai	ne(Total)	15		57			Simple Before-After
Install left-turn lane (painted separation)	Left-turn	All				>5,000/lai	ne(Total)	15		35			Simple Before-After
	Overturn	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		54			Simple Before-After
	Overturn	All				>5,000/lai	ne(Total)	15		39			Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	All				<5,000/lai	ne(Total)	15		54			Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	All				>5,000/lai	ne(Total)	15		39			Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		62			Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All				>5,000/laı	ne(Total)	15		49			Simple Before-After
	All	All	All		No signal			1		35			
	All	All	All		Signal			1		25			
	All	All	Rural	3-Leg	No signal			28		44			
Install left-turn lane	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	No signal			28		28			
(physical channelization)	All	All		4-Leg (2 app)	No signal			28		42			
	All	All				<5,000/laı	ne(Total)	15		51			Simple Before-After
	All	All				>5,000/lai	ne(Total)	15		19			Simple Before-After
	All	All	Urban	3-Leg	No signal			28		33			

						Major	Minor			Effecti	venes	S		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily		Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std	Ra	nge High	Study Type
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	No signal			28		27				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	3-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		27	13			Meta-analysis
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		4	12			Meta-analysis
	All	PDO	Rural	3-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		-20	23			Meta-analysis
	All	PDO	Rural	4-Leg		5,000- 15,000		13		16	22			Meta-analysis
	Left-turn	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		24				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All				>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		24				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury						15		50				Simple Before-After
Install left-turn lane	ROR	PDO						15		50				Simple Before-After
(physical channelization)	Overturn	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		50				Simple Before-After
(cont'd)	Overturn	All				>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		28				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		50				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	All				>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		28				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	Fatal/Injury						15		11				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	PDO						15		56				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		68				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All				>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		55				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury						15		58				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	PDO						15		54				Simple Before-After

						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	6		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily T		Ref	Obs		Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume (v	veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	All			Signal			28		31		25	36	
	All	All			Signal			51		35				
la stall laft tunn lan s	Left-turn	All			Signal			28		44		43	45	
Install left-turn lane (signal has left-turn	Older-				0. 1				10	70				
phase)	driver head-on	All		4-Leg	Signal			39	13	73				
	Younger-													
	driver	All		4-Leg	Signal			39	13	66				
	head-on				•									
Install left-turn lane (signal has no turn	All	All			Signal			28		23		21	25	
phase)	Left-turn	All			Signal			28		50		46	54	
Install left-turn lane	All	All			Signal			15		35				
(with channelization and existing left-turn	All	All			Signal			15		35				Simple Before-After
phase)	All	All			Signal			15		35				Cross-section
Install left-turn lane	All	All						15		15				
(with channelization and no left-turn	All	All						15		15				Simple Before-After
phase)	All	All						15		15				Cross-section
Install left-turn lane (within existing	All	All			Signal			28		26				
curbs)	Left-turn	All			Signal			28		66				
	All	All				<5,000/lar	ne(Total)	15		24				Simple Before-After
	All	All				>5,000/lar	ne(Total)	15		44				Simple Before-After
Install left-turn refuge within flush median	Head-on	All				>5,000/lar	ne(Total)	15		52				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All				>5,000/lar	ne(Total)	15		77				Simple Before-After
	Overturn	All				<5,000/lar	ne(Total)	15		44				Simple Before-After

· ·						Major Minor			Effecti	veness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction		nge	Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume (veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error Low	High	
	Overturn	All				>5,000/lane(Total)	15		40			Simple Before-After
Install left-turn refuge within flush median	Rear-end	All				<5,000/lane(Total)	15		44			Simple Before-After
(cont'd)	Rear-end	All				>5,000/lane(Total)	15		40			Simple Before-After
	Sideswipe	All				>5,000/lane(Total)	15		52			Simple Before-After
	All	All	Rural	3-Leg	Signal		6		-18			
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal		6		-22			
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal		6		-49			
	All	All	Urban	3-Leg	Signal		6		-8			
	All	All	Urban	3-Leg	Stop		6		-49			
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal		6		-11			
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop		6		-37			
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal		6		-23			
Remove left-turn	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop		6		-88			
lane	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	3-Leg	Signal		6		-16			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal		6		-21			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal		6		-45			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Signal		6		-6			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Stop		6		-53			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal		6		-10			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop		6		-41			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal		6		-21			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop		6		-98			

						Major	Minor			Effecti				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref Ob	os (Crash Reduction	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	Туре	Severity				Volume	(veh/day)		F	Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
				RIGH	T-TURN CO	UNTERMI	EASURES	6						
Increase length of right-turn lane	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		15				
	All	All	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,200- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		4	2			EB Before- After
	All	All	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,100- 40,600	25- 11,800	22		14	5			EB Before- After
	All	All	All	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal	4,200- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		8	3			EB Before- After
	All	All	All	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,100- 40,600	25- 11,800	22		26	7			EB Before- After
	All	All	All	All	All			58		35				
	All	All	All					1		25				
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	No signal			28		14				
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	No signal			28		21		14	27	
	All	All		All	No signal			28		27		24	30	
	All	All						15		25				
Install right-turn lane	All	All						15		25				Cross-section
	All	All						15		25				Simple Before-After
	All	All						15		25				Simple Before-After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,200- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		9	3			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,100- 40,600	25- 11,800	22		23	7			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	No signal			58		35				
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	Signal			58		35				
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All				51		40				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	All	All			58		35				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	All	All			58		30				
	Rear-end	All						15		65				Simple Before-After

						Major Minor			Effecti	veness	S		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function			nge High	Study Type
	Right- angle	All					15		50				Simple Before-After
	Right-turn	All					15		53				
Install right-turn lane (cont'd)	Right-turn	All					15		56				Simple Before-After
	Right-turn	All					15		50				Cross-section
	Sideswipe	All					15		20				Simple Before-After
Install right-turn lane (painted separation)	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All		58		30				
Install right-turn lane (physical channelization)	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All		58		35				

 Table 2: Geometric Countermeasures



Countermeasure(s) Cras Type All All All Convert four-leg to two T-intersections All All All All All All All All All Al		Area Type Urban Urban Urban Urban	Config OTHER G 4-Leg 4-Leg 4-Leg 4-Leg	Control GEOMETRIC No signal	Volume (>30%*		Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function 57 33	Std Error 6		nge High	Study Type
Convert four-leg to two T-intersections All All All	Fatal/Injury Fatal/Injury Fatal/Injury PDO	Urban Urban	4-Leg 4-Leg 4-Leg		COUNTE <70%*	RMEASU >30%*	RES 28		57		LOW	High	
Convert four-leg to two T-intersections All All All	Fatal/Injury Fatal/Injury Fatal/Injury PDO	Urban Urban	4-Leg 4-Leg 4-Leg		<70%*	>30%*	28			6			Meta-analysi
Convert four-leg to two T-intersections All All All	Fatal/Injury Fatal/Injury Fatal/Injury PDO	Urban Urban	4-Leg 4-Leg							6			Meta-analysi
Convert four-leg to two T-intersections All All All	Fatal/Injury PDO	Urban			>85%*	.4 5 0/ *							,
Convert four-leg to two T-intersections All All	PDO		4-Leg			<15%*	13		-35	15			Meta-analysi
two T-intersections All All All		Urban			70-85%*	15-30%*	13		25	5			Meta-analysi
All	PDO	1	4-Leg		<70%*	>30%*	13		10	5			Meta-analysis
		Urban	4-Leg		>85%*	<15%*	13		-15	6			Meta-analysis
A 11	PDO	Urban	4-Leg		70-85%*	15-30%*	13		0	5			Meta-analysis
All	All		4-Leg				51		57				Meta-analysi
All	All	All		All			50	55	35	3			EB Before- After
All	All	All		Signal			50	9	48	5			EB Before- After
All	All	All		Signal			21	23	40				EB Before- After
All	All	All		Stop (2-way)			50	36	44	4			EB Before- After
Convert intersection All All	All	All		Stop (4-way)			50	10	-3	15			EB Before- After
All	All	Rural	1-lane	Stop (2-way)			50	9	72	4			EB Before- After
All	All	Rural		Stop	7,185- 17,220		44		58	7			EB Before- After
All	All		3-Leg				15		50				Simple Before-After
All	All		4-Leg				15		75				Simple Before-After

Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity		Config	Control	Major Minor	Ref	Obs	Effectiveness				
			Area Type			Daily Traffic			Crash Reduction Std		Range		Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume (veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	Fatal/Injury					55	181	65				Simple Before-After
	All	PDO					55	181	42				Simple Before-After
	Ped	All					55	181	89				Simple Before-After
	All	All	Urban		Stop	13,272- 30,418	44		5	10			EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban		Signal	5,322- 31,525	44		35	9			EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban		Signal		50	5	1	12			EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban		Signal		21	4	35				EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban		Stop (2-way)		50	27	31	6			EB Before- After
Convert intersection	All	All	Urban	1-lane	Stop (2-way)		50	16	56	6			EB Before- After
to roundabout (cont'd)	All	All	Urban	2-lane	Signal		50	4	67	4			EB Before- After
(cont d)	All	All	Urban	2-lane	Stop (2-way)		50	11	18	8			EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban		Stop	4,600- 17,825	44		72	6			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All		All		50	55	76	3			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All		Signal		50	9	78	6			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All		Stop (2-way)		50	36	82	3			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All		Stop (4-way)		50	10	-28	41			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All		All		21	23	80				EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	1-lane	Stop (2-way)		50	9	87	3			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural		Stop	7,185- 17,220	44		82	9			EB Before- After

	Crash Type	Crash Severity			Control	Major Minor				Effectiveness				
Countermeasure(s)			Area Type	Config		Daily Traffic		Ref	Obs			Ra	nge	Study Type
	турс	Oeventy				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	Fatal/Injury			No signal			11	62	44		34	52	EB and Meta- analysis
	All	Fatal/Injury			Signal			11	34	32		19	43	EB and Meta- analysis
	All	Fatal/Injury						11	96	39		31	45	EB and Meta- analysis
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal			50	5	60	12			EB Before- After
Convert intersection	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Stop (2-way)			50	27	74	6			EB Before- After
to roundabout (cont'd)	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	1-lane	Stop (2-way)			50	16	78	7			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	2-lane	Stop (2-way)			50	11	72	9			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Signal	5,322- 31,525		44		74	14			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Stop	4,600- 17,825		44		88	8			EB Before- After
	Ped	Fatal/Injury			No signal			11		27				
	Ped	Fatal/Injury			Signal			11		-28				
Improve intersection alignment (reduce	All	All	Rural	3-Leg	Stop			6		100(1-EXP(0.0048* intersection angle - 90°)); angle in degrees				
skew)	All	All	Rural	4-Leg	Stop			6		100(1-EXP(0.0054* intersection angle - 90°)); angle in degrees				
Improve sight distance in 1 quadrant	All	All	Rural	4-Leg	Stop/Yield (2-way)			23		5				Expert Panel
Improve sight distance in 2 quadrants	All	All	Rural	4-Leg	Stop/Yield (2-way)			23		9				
Improve sight distance in 3 quadrants	All	All	Rural	4-Leg	Stop/Yield (2-way)			23		13				
Improve sight	All	All	Rural	4-Leg	Signal			23		0				
distance in 4 quadrants	All	All	Rural	4-Leg	Stop/Yield (2-way)			23		17				

·						Major Minor				Effectiveness			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref	Obs		Std	Range	Study Type
		, î				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low High	
Improve sight	All	Fatal						51		56			
distance to intersection	All	Injury						51		37			
	Multiple- vehicle	All	Rural	4-Leg	Stop			24		4	1		Cross-section
	Multiple- vehicle	All	Urban	3-Leg	Stop			24		-3	1		Cross-section
	Multiple- vehicle	All	Urban	4-Leg	Signal			24		-3	1		Cross-section
Increase median width by 3 ft	Multiple- vehicle	All	Urban	4-Leg	Stop			24		-6	1		Cross-section
	Multiple- vehicle	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg	Stop			24		4	1		Cross-section
	Multiple- vehicle	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg	Signal			24		-3	1		Cross-section
	Multiple- vehicle	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg	Stop			24		-5	1		Cross-section
Increase pedestrian storage area at corner	All	Fatal/Injury						5		-12	126		Meta-analysis
Install median	All	All	Rural		Stop			6		27			
Install median islands (painted) on major road approaches	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		15			
Install median islands (physical) on major road approaches	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		25			
	All	All			No signal			28		25			
Install raised median	All	All						28		25			
	Ped	All			No signal			28		69			
Install raised median (marked crosswalk)	Ped	All						60		46			

						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref	Obs		Std	Range	Study Type
						Volume	veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error L	ow High	
Install raised median (unmarked crosswalk)	Ped	All						60		39			
Install refuge islands	Ped	All						28		56			
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	3-Leg	All			58		45			
Install splitter islands	All	Fatal/Injury	All	4-Leg	All			58		40			
on minor road	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		40			
approaches	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	All	All			58		35			
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	All	All			58		40			
	All	All	Rural		Stop			48		5	10		Simple Before-After
	Head-on	PDO		3-Leg				15		13			Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	Injury		3-Leg				15		36			Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	PDO		3-Leg				15		28			Simple Before-After
Install turn and	ROR	PDO		3-Leg				15		40			Simple Before-After
bypass lanes	Rear-end	Injury		3-Leg				15		18			Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	PDO		3-Leg				15		21			Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	Injury		3-Leg				15		24			Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	PDO		3-Leg				15		53			Simple Before-After
	Sideswipe	PDO		3-Leg				15		30			Simple Before-After
	All	All	Rural		Stop			6		100(1-EXP(-0.012 Wm=median width);	
Vary median width	All	All	Urban	3-Leg	Stop			6		100(1-EXP(0.0082 Wm>16 1.0 for Wm<=16; \ (ft)			

	0	Quark				Major Minor			Effectiveness	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Obs	Crash ReductionStdRangeFactor / FunctionErrorLowHigh	Study Type
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg	Stop		6		100(1-EXP(0.0173(Wm-16))) for Wm>16 1.0 for Wm<=16; Wm=median width (ft)	
Vary median width (cont'd)	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Stop		6		100(1-EXP(0.0076(Wm-16))) for Wm>16 1.0 for Wm<=16; Wm=median width (ft)	
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg	Stop		6		100(1-EXP(0.016(Wm-16))) for Wm>16 1.0 for Wm<=16; Wm=median width (ft)	
Vary shoulder width	All	All	Rural	3-Leg and 4- Leg	Stop		6		100(1-EXP(-0.03(Ws-8)); Ws=outside shoulder width (ft)	
	All	All	Urban		Stop		6		100(1-EXP(-0.02(Ws-1.5)); Ws=outside shoulder width (ft)	

Table 3: Signs / Markings / Operational Countermeasures



I						Major N	Minor			Effecti				Orabiles
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Tra		Ref	Obs		Std		nge	Study Type
	Type	Coverty				Volume (vel	h/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
						GNS					1	r	1	T
	All	All			No signal			28		11				
Install double stop signs	Right- angle	All			No signal			47	10	55	52	-38	100	Simple Before-After
5	Right- angle	All			No signal			28		36				
	All	All		3-Leg				15		70				Simple Before-After
	All	All		4-Leg				15		39				Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal			28		27		25	28	
	All	All						15		25				
	All	All						15		25				Cross-section
	All	All						15		27				Simple Before-After
Install flashing	All	All						15		25				Simple Before-After
beacons as advance warning	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury						15		67				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	PDO						15		79				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	All		4-Leg	Signal			39		36				
	Right- angle	All		4-Leg	Signal			39		62				
	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury						15		73				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury						15		73				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	PDO						15		62				Simple Before-After
Install larger stop signs	All	All			Stop	>5,000/lane((Total)	15		19				Simple Before-After
Install pedestrian	All	All						15		4				
signing	Ped	All						15		15				

						Major Minor			Effecti	veness			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std		nge	Study Type
	51					Volume (veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	All	All				1		35				
	All	All			Signal		28		22		3	40	
Install advance	All	All	Urban				15		30				Cross-section
warning signs (positive guidance)	All	All	Rural				15		40				
(poolitio galdalioo)	Right- angle	All			Signal		47	11	35		20	100	Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			Signal		28		35				
Provide overhead	Rear-end	All					51		10				
lane-use signs	Sidewipe	All					51		20				
				PAVEM	ENT MARKIN	NGS/MODIFICATIO	NS						
Add centerline and	All	All			No signal		28		29				
move STOP bar to extended curb lines	Right- angle	All			No signal		28		24				
Add centerline and move STOP bar to	All	All			No signal		28		9				
extended curb lines, double stop signs	Right- angle	All			No signal		28		0				
Add centerline and STOP bar, replace	Right- angle	All			No signal		47		67	11	27	100	Simple Before-After
24-inch with 30-inch stop signs	Right- angle	All			No signal		28		67				
Improve pavement	All	All					28		25				
friction (groove)	Wet	All					28		59		42	75	
Improve/install	All	All					15		25				
pedestrian crossing	Ped	All					15		25				
	Ped	All					15		25				
Install pedestrian	Ped	All					15		25				
crossing	Ped	Fatal/Injury	Rural				38		60				EB Before- After
Install pedestrian	All	All					5		30	67			Meta-analysis
crossing (raised)	All	Fatal/Injury					5		36	54			Meta-analysis
	Ped	All					28		8				

	0	Quart				Major	Minor			Effecti	veness		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction		Range	Study Type
	турс	Ocventy				Volume	veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error Lo	w High	
Install raised	All	Fatal/Injury		4-Leg				13		-5			Meta-analysis
intersection	All	PDO		4-Leg				13		-13			Meta-analysis
	All	All						28		10	(
Install raised	Wet	All						28		25	2	0 30	
pavement markers	Wet/Night	All						28		33	2	0 46	
Install STOP bars (pedestrian crosswalk)	All	All			Signal			28		18	1	0 25	
Install STOP bars (STOP bar on minor road approaches,	All	All						28		19	1	0 27	
with short segments of centerline)	Right- angle	All						28		47			
	All	All						15		18			Simple Before-After
	Speed- related	Fatal/Injury			Stop			18		57	8		Simple Before-After
	Speed- related	Serious injury			Stop			18		74	13		Simple Before-After
	Speed- related	Slight injury			Stop			18		52	11		Simple Before-After
Install transverse pavement markings	Speed- related and day	All			Stop			18		66	8		Simple Before-After
	Speed- related and dry	All			Stop			18		45	15		Simple Before-After
	Speed- related	All			Stop			18		48	14		Simple Before-After
	Speed- related and wet	All			Stop			18		68	11		Simple Before-After

						Major	Minor			Effect	veness	6		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	All	Rural		No signal			28		35				
Install transverse rumble strips on	All	All			Stop			15		28				Simple Before-After
approaches	All	All						28		23		2	44	
	Rear-end	All						15		90				Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal			28		6				
Mark pavement with supplementary	Right- angle	All			No signal			28		30				
warning messages	Right- angle	All	Urban		Stop			47	5	30	66	-20	100	Simple Before-After
Provide bicycle box (advance stop bar to leave dedicated space for cyclists)	Bicycle	All			Signal			51		35				
Provide bike lanes	Bicycle	All						51		36				
Resurface pavement	All	All						28		33		7	59	
Resultace pavement	Wet	All						28		47		42	75	
					REGU	LATORY								-
Convert STOP	All	All	All		Stop			21	141	-137				Comparison Group Before After
control to Yield control	All	All	Urban	4-Leg	Stop			33		-127	70			Comparison Group Before After
	All	All	All		Stop			21	360	47				Before-After with Likelihood Functions
Convert to all-way	All	All			No signal			28		64		53	74	
STOP control (from 2	All	All			Stop			15		53				
way control)	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Stop			30		71	6			Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All	Urban		Stop			30		20	52			Simple Before-After

						Major	Minor			Effecti				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std		nge	Study Type
	Type	Seventy				Volume	veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	Left-turn	All			Stop			15		20				Cross-section
	Ped	All						15		39				
	Ped	All	Urban		Stop			30		39	8			Before-After
	Rear-end	All	Urban		Stop			30		13	13			Simple Before-After
Convert to all-way STOP control (from 2-	Rear-end	All			Stop			15		13				Cross-section
way control) (cont'd)	Right- angle	All	Urban		Stop			30		72	3			Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			No signal			28		84				
	Right- angle	All			Stop			15		72				Cross-section
	Right- angle	All	Urban		Stop			47	10	80	41	49	100	Simple Before-After
Convert two-way to	All	All						15		26				
one-way roadway	All	All						15		26				Cross-section

	Crash	Crash				Major Minor			Effecti		S		
Countermeasure(s)	Туре	Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
						volume (ven/day)				EIIU	LOW	High	
Convert Yield control	All	All			No signal		28		29				
to STOP control	Right- angle	All			No signal		28		9				
Install no left-turn	All	All	Urban			19,435- 42,000(Total)	7		62	6			Simple Before-After
and no u-turn signs	Left-turn (or u-turn)	All	Urban			19,435- 42,000(Total)	7		59	5			Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal		5		-7	1			Simple Before-After
	All	All			Signal		10		-5	1			Simple Before-After
	Ped	All	New Orleans		Signal		5		-81	88			Before-After
Permit right-turn-on- red	Ped	All	New York		Signal		5		-43	24			Before-After
leu	Ped	All	Ohio		Signal		5		-57	31			Before-After
	Ped	All	Wisconsin		Signal		5		-108	51			Before-After
	Right-turn	Fatal/Injury			Signal		13		-60	5			Meta-analysis
	Right-turn	PDO			Signal		13		-10	1			Meta-analysis
	All	All					15		45				
	All	All					15		45				Cross-section
Prohibit left-turns	Left-turn	All					15		90				Cross-section
	Ped	All					15		10				
	Rear-end	All					15		30				Cross-section
	All	All			Signal		28		23		20	25	
Prohibit right-turn-on- red	ROR	All			Signal		15		30				Cross-section
	Rear-end	All			Signal		15		20				Cross-section

						Major	Minor			Effecti	venes		01.011	
	Crash	Crash		Config	Control			Def	Oha				nge	Otwaly Type
Countermeasure(s)	Туре	Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	-	Traffic (veh/day)	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function			-	Study Type
Prohibit right-turn-on-	All	All	Urban/ Suburban		Signal			62		100(1-(0.984)^n); signalized intersed where RTOR is pr	ction ap	opraoc		Expert Panel
red (cont'd)	Right- angle	All			Signal			15		30				Cross-section
	Sideswipe	All			Signal			15		20				Cross-section
Prohibit turns	All turns	All	All					1		45		40	90	
Restrict parking near intersections (to off-	All	All						28		49		8	90	
street)	Ped	All						15		30				
Vary speed	All	All	Rural					6		100(1-EXP(0.019(road speed limit (c (mph)	or desig	gn spe	ed)	
vary speed	All	All	Urban					6		100(1-EXP(0.005(road speed limit (c (mph)				
	L			L	LIG	HTING	L							
Improve lighting at	Ped	Fatal						5		78	87			
intersection	Ped	Injury						5		42	18			
	All	All			Signal			51		30				
	All	Fatal/Injury			Signal			51		17				
	Night	All			Signal			51		50				
	All	All			No Signal			28		47				
	All	All						62		4				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel
Install lighting	All	Injury						62		6				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel
	Night	All						62		21				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel
	Night	Injury						62		29				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel

	Orresh	Orest				Major Minor			Effect	veness			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std		nge	Study Type
	турс	Oeventy				Volume (veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
					OPER/	ATIONAL		I		I	I	1	
Convert STOP	All	All			Stop		15		28				Cross-sectior
control (2-way) to signal control	All	Injury			Stop		15		43				Cross-sectior
Signal control	Right- angle	All			Stop		15		74				Cross-sectior
	All	All			Stop		15		36				Cross-sectior
Convert STOP control (2-way) to	All	Injury			Stop		15		53				Cross-section
signal control and install left-turn lane	Rear-end	All			Stop		15		8				Cross-section
	Right- angle	All			Stop		15		74				Cross-section
Increase enforcement related to motorist yielding in marked crosswalks combined with a public education campaign	Ped	All					63		23				
Increase enforcement to reduce speed	Ped	All					28		70				
Install angled median crosswalk	All	All					28		12				
	All	All	All				1		30		7	50	
	All	All	All				1		30				
	All	All			Signal		28		34		30	38	
Install beacon	All	All					15		30				
(flashing) at intersection	All	All					15		30				Cross-section
	All	All					15		4				Simple Before-After
	All	All					15		30				Simple Before-After

· ·						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	S		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	Туре	Seventy				Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	All			Signal	17,000- 78,000		37	46	-12	5			EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban (Scottsdale)		Signal			56		11				EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	Signal			58		5				
	All	Fatal/Injury			Signal	17,000- 78,000		37	46	-14	9			EB Before- After
	Left-turn	All	Urban (Scottsdale)		Signal			56	14	45	6			EB Before- After
Install cameras to	Rear-end	All			Signal	52,625- 109,067	12,562- 33,679	45		-15	3			EB Before- After
detect red-light running	Rear-end	All			Signal	17,000- 78,000		37	13	-57	1			EB Before- After
_	Rear-end	All	Urban (Scottsdale)		Signal			56		-41	11			EB Before- After
	Rear-end	Fatal/Injury			Signal	52,625- 109,067	12,562- 33,679	45		-24	12			EB Before- After
	Right- angle	All			Signal	52,625- 109,067	12,562- 33,679	45		25	3			EB Before- After
	Right- angle	All	Urban (Scottsdale)		Signal			56	14	20				EB Before- After
	Right- angle	Fatal/Injury			Signal	52,625- 109,067	12,562- 33,679	45		16	6			EB Before- After
Install far-side bus stops	Ped	All						28		1				
	All	All			No signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		25				Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		26				Simple Before-After
	All	All			No signal			15		26				
Install flashing red/yellow signal	All	Fatal/Injury			No signal			15		50				Simple Before-After
(MUTCD: intersection control	Head-on	All			No signal			15		50				Simple Before-After
beacon)	Right- angle	All			No signal	<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		35				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			No signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		36				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All			No signal			15		36				

						Major	Minor			Effect	iveness			51831103
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control		Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	Туре	Severity	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5			(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	5 51
Install pedestrian crossing (signed and marked with curb ramps and extensions)	All	All			No signal			28		37		25	48	
Install pedestrian overpass/underpass	Ped	All			No signal			28		13				
Install stop signs at alternate	All	All	Urban		Stop			53		50		45	55	
intersections in residential areas	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban		Stop			53		67		61	72	
Vary frequency of	All	All	Rural		Signal			6		100(1-EXP(0.046(Nd=number of driv major road within intersection	veways	on the	e	
driveways within 250 ft of intersection	All	All	Rural		Stop			6		100(1-EXP(0.056(Nd=number of driv major road within intersection	veways	on the	Э	
Vary lane width	All	All	Urban		Signal			6		100(1-EXP(-0.053 width (ft)				
	All	All	Urban		Stop			6		100(1-EXP(-0.057 width (ft)	(WI-12))); WI	=lane	
Vary sight distance	All	All	Rural		Signal			6		0				
Von through longe	All	All	Rural		Signal			6		100(1-EXP(0.007(NIn=number of thr road	. ,,		n the	
Vary through lanes	All	All	Rural		Stop			6		100(1-EXP(-0.093 NIn=number of thr road			n the	
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg	Signal			6		100(1-EXP(0.026) truck during the pe for all intersection	eak hou moven	ur (ave nents)		
Vary truck presence	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	3-Leg	Stop			6		100(1-EXP(-0.025 Pt=percent truck of hour (average for movements)	luring t	he pea		

						Major Minor			Effecti	venes	S		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)			Crash Reduction Factor / Function		Rar Low	-	Study Type
Vary truck presence	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg	Stop	6 a 100(1-EXP(-0.0520(Pt-9))); Pt=percent truck during the peak hour (average for all intersection movements)							
(cont'd)	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg	Signal		6		100(1-EXP(0.0323 Pt=percent truck of hour (average for movements)	luring t	he pea		

Tables for Roadway Departure Crash Reduction Factors



 Table 4: Barrier Countermeasures



Roadway Departure Crashes

					D. 11. T. 17.		Effectiven			•	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		inge	Study Type
									Low	High	
			1	BARRIERC			40		1		
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	18				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	9				
	All	All	All	All		1	5				
	All	All				15	5				
	All	All				15	6				
	All	All				15	7				
	All	All				15	7				
	All	All				15	11				
	All	All				15	15				
	All	All				15	15				
	All	All				15	20				
	All	Fatal	All	All		1	50				
	All	Injury				15	35				
	All	Injury	All	All		1	35				
Improve guardrail	Fixed object	All			<5,000/lane	15	23				
	Fixed object	All			>5,000/lane	15	18				
	Fixed object	All				15	21				
	ROR	All				15	26				
	ROR	All			>5,000/lane	15	32				
	ROR	All				15	28				
	Overturn	All			<5,000/lane	15	41				
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane	15	27				
	Overturn	All				15	34				
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	41				
	Rear-end	All			>5,000/lane	15	27				
	Rear-end	All				15	34				
	Animal	All				15	80				
	Animal	All	All	All		1	90				
Install animal fencing	Animal	All	,	,		15	70				
	Animal	All				15	90				
	Animal	Injury				15	91				

FHWA-SA-08-011

							Effectiven	ess	-		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
									Low	High	
Install animal fencing	Animal	PDO				15	61				
(cont'd)	Animal head-on	All				15	85				
Install barrier (concrete) inside and outside curve	All	Fatal/ Injury				15	39				
Install guardrail (as	All	All				15	14				
shield for rocks and	All	Injury				15	31				
posts)	Fixed object	All				15	100				
Install guardrail (as	Âll	Fatal				15	65				
shield for trees)	All	Injury				15	51				
Install averdrail (at	All	All				15	27				
Install guardrail (at culvert)	All	All				15	24				
cuiver()	All	All				15	30				
Install guardrail (at ditch)	All	Injury				15	26				
	All	Injury				15	42				
Install guardrail (at	ROR	All		All		5	7	31			Meta Analysis
embankment)	ROR	Fatal		All		5	44	10			Meta Analysis
	ROR	Injury		All		5	47	5			Meta Analysis
Install guardrail (inside curves)	All	Fatal/ Injury				15	28				
Install guardrail (outside curves)	All	Fatal/ Injury				15	63				
	All	All				15	29				
	All	All	All	All		1	5				
	All	All				15	5				
Install impact attenuators	All	All				15	20				
	All	All				15	20				
	All	All				15	35				
	All	All				15	41				

							Effectiven	iess			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Ra	nge	Study Type
					(vor#ddy)		, i diodon	Enor	Low	High	
	All	All				15	50				
	All	Fatal	All	All		1	75				
	All	Fatal				15	75				
	All	Fatal				15	83				
	All	Fatal				15	90				
	All	Injury	All	All		1	50				
Install impact attenuators	All	Injury				15	50				
(cont'd)	Fixed object	Fatal	All	All		5	69	28			Meta Analysis
_	Fixed	Injury	All	All		5	69	10			Meta Analysis
-	Fixed object	PDO				5	46	30			Meta Analysis
-	ROR	All				15	45				
Replace guardrail with a softer material	ROR	Fatal		All		5	41	31			Meta Analysis
(concrete→steel→wire)	ROR	Injury		All		5	32	10			Meta Analysis

 Table 5: Bridge Countermeasures



							Effectiver	Effectiveness			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
				BRIDGE CO	DUNTERMEAS	SURES					
Install bridge lighting	All	All				15	59				
	All	All				15	43				
Install delineators (on	All	All				15	39				
bridges)	All	All				15	40				
	All	All				15	50				
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	22				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	20				
	All	All				15	11				
	All	All				15	24				
	All	All				15	24				
lastell av endreil (et	All	All				15	44				
istall guardrail (at ridge)	All	Fatal				15	90				
bhuge)	All	Injury				15	45				
	Overturn	All			<5,000/lane	15	41				
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane	15	32				
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	37				
	Rear-end	All			>5,000/lane	15	32				
	Wet	All				15	50				
	All	All				15	14				
Repair bridge deck	All	All				15	13				
	All	All				15	15				
Replace bridge (general)	All	All	All	All		1	45				
Replace bridge (2-lane)	All	All				15	45				
Upgrade bridge parapet	All	All				15	5				
	All	All				15	20				
	All	All	All	All		1	5				
Upgrade bridge railing	All	Fatal				15	76				
	All	Fatal				15	60				
	All	Fatal				15	92				

-							Effectiven		-		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	All	Injury				15	61				
	All	Injury	All	All		1	30				
Upgrade bridge railing	All	Injury				15	30				
(cont'd)	All	Injury				15	92				
	All	PDO				15	50				
Vary bridge width	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-(EXP(-0.135lbr(Wb lbr=presence of bridges (bridges present, 0 if not), approach traveled-way w Ps=proportion of crash ty values of Ps, refer to sour	1 if one Wb=bi idth (ft) pe sub	e or mo ridge w	ore vidth –	
Vary horizontal bridge radius	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	road)+0.781(EXP(320.9/F	EXP(-2298/R)+343.8/R)(1-Poff- EXP(320.9/R)Poff-road))); Poff- ion of crashes that occur off the			
	All	All				15	45				
	All	All	All	All		1	45				
	All	All				15	36				
	All	All				15	40				
	All	All				15	45				
	All	All				15	47				
	All	All				15	48				
	All	All				15	55				
Widen bridge	All	Fatal/ Injury				15	92				
	All	PDO				15	95				
	Fixed object	All				15	45				
	Fixed object	All				15	40				
	Fixed object	All				15	50				
	Head-on	All				15	45				

					Daily Traffic		Effectiven	ess	-		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
									Low	High	
	Head-on	All				15	40				
	Head-on	All				15	50				
	ROR	All				15	44				
	Sideswipe	All				15	49				
Widen bridge (cont'd)	Sideswipe	All				15	40				
-	Sideswipe	All				15	50				
	Sideswipe	All				15	57				
Widen bridge (18 to 24 ft)	All	All				15	68				
Widen bridge (18 to 30 ft)	All	All				15	93				
Widen bridge (20 to 24 ft)	All	All				15	56				
Widen bridge (20 to 30 ft)	All	All				15	90				
Widen bridge (22 to 24 ft)	All	All				15	36				
Widen bridge (22 to 30 ft)	All	All				15	86				

 Table 6: Geometric Countermeasures



							Effectiveness				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
			(GEOMETRIC	COUNTERME	ASURES	3		•	•	
Change shoulder type and/or width	All	All	Rural			21	100(1-((AMFWRA x AMF 1.0)PRA+1.0)), AMFWRA modification factor for rela based on shoulder width AMfWRA, refer to source AMFTRA=accident modif related accidents based of (for values of AMFTRA, re PRA=proportion of total c by related crashes.	x=accio ated ac (for val), ication on shou efer to	cident ues of factor ulder ty source	for /pe e),	Expert Panel
	All	All	All	All		27	20	19			EB Before- After
Flatten crest vertical curve	All	Fatal/ Injury	All	All		27	51	19			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		38	50				
	All	All				15	39				
	All	All	All	All		1	40				
	All	All				15	35				
latten horizontal curve	All	All	Rural			21	100(1-((1.55Lc+80.2/R-0. Lc=length of horizontal cu spirial curve length, R=cu Is=presence of a spiral tra a spiral transition is prese	irve (m rve rac ansitior	ii) with lius (ft) n curve	out), e (1 if	Expert Panel
	All	Fatal				15	87				
	All	Injury				15	87				
	All	PDO				15	87				
	Fixed object	All			<5,000/lane	15	68				

							Effectiven				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	Fixed								LOw	Tign	
	object	All			>5,000/lane	15	87				
	Head-on	All			<5,000/lane	15	67				
	Head-on	All			>5,000/lane	15	64				
	ROR	All			<5,000/lane	15	90				
Flatten horizontal curve	ROR	All			>5,000/lane	15	79				
(cont'd)	Overturn	All			<5,000/lane	15	73				
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane	15	24				
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	73				
	Rear-end	All			>5,000/lane	15	24				
	Rear-end	All				15	49				
Flatten horizontal curves (10 to 5 degrees)	All	All				15	45				
Flatten horizontal curves (15 to 5 degrees)	All	All				15	63				
Flatten horizontal curves (20 to 10 degrees)	All	All				15	48				
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	43				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	45				
	All	All	All	All		1	30				
	All	All				15	25				
Flattan aida alanaa	All	All				15	30				
Flatten side slopes	All	All				15	32				
	All	All				15	35				
	Fixed object	All				15	62				
	ROR	All				15	10				
	All	All				15	8				
Flatten side slopes (11 to	Ped	All				15	14				
8 degrees)	Right-turn	All				15	14				

					D H T G		Effectiven	ffectiveness			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	All	All				15	7		LOW	Tign	
		All				15					Meta
	All	Injury	Rural	2-lane		5	22	4			Analysis
Flatten side slopes (14 to 9 degrees)	All	PDO	Rural	2-lane		5	24	2			Meta Analysis
	Ped	All				15	12				
	Right-turn	All				15	12				
	All	All	Rural	2-lane		15	11				
Flatten side slopes (18 to	ROR	All	Rural	2-lane		5	24	21			Cross- section
9 degrees)	Ped	All	Rural	2-lane		15	19				
	Right-turn	All				15	19				
Flatten side slopes (18 to	All	All				15	8				
11 degrees)	Ped	All				15	14				
r r degrees)	Right-turn	All				15	14				
	All	All				15	5				
	All	Injury	Rural	2-lane		5	42	4			Meta Analysis
Flatten side slopes (18 to	All	PDO	Rural	2-lane		5	29	4			Meta Analysis
14 degrees)	ROR	All	Rural	2-lane		5	18	16			Cross- section
	Ped	All				15	8				
	Right-turn	All				15	8				
Flatten side slopes (27 to	All	All				15	12				
9 degrees)	Ped	All				15	21				
o degreed/	Right-turn	All				15	21				
Flatten side slopes (27 to	All	All				15	9				
11 degrees)	Ped	All				15	15				
	Right-turn	All				15	15				
Flatten side slopes (27 to	All	All				15	6				
14 degrees)	Ped	All				15	10				
/	Right-turn	All				15	10				

							Effectiven		-		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Rar Low		Study Type
Flatten side slopes and remove guardrail	All	All	All	All		27	42	58			EB Before- After
	All	All	Rural	All		21	0				Expert Panel
Improve curve superelevation	All	All	Rural			21	100(1-(1.00+6(SD-0.01))) SD=superelevation deficient and 0.02	ency b	etween	0.01	Expert Panel
	All All Rural 100(1-(1.06+3(SD-0.02))); 0.02 0.02		han	Expert Panel							
Improvo goro aroa	All	All				15	25				
Improve gore area	All	All	All	All		1	25				
	All	All				15	58				
Improve herizontal and	All	All	All	All		1	50				
nprove horizontal and ertical alignments	All	All				15	50				
	All	All				15	50				
	All	All				15	73				
	All	All				15	49				
	All	All	All	All		1	40				
Improve longitudinal	All	All				15	40				
grade	All	All				15	57				
grade	All	Fatal/ Injury				15	87				
	All	PDO				15	83				
	All	All				15	40				
Improve superelevation	All	All				1	40				
	ROR	All				15	50				
Improve superelevation	All	All				15	45				
(for drainage)	All	All				15	40				
	All	All				15	49				
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	20				
Increase number of	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	31				
lanes	All	All				15	10				
	All	All				15	20				
	All	All				15	22				

							Effectiven	Effectiveness			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
					(Low	High	
	All	All				15	25				
	All	All				15	25				
	All	All				15	25				
	All	Fatal				15	39				
	All	Injury				15	23				
	All	PDO				15	27				
	Head-on	All			<5,000/lane	15	38				
	Head-on	All			>5,000/lane	15	44				
	Head-on	All				15	53				
	Head-on	All				15	53				
	Head-on	PDO				15	50				
	Left-turn	All				15	71				
	Left-turn	PDO				15	67				
	ROR	All				15	44				
	ROR	All				15	26				
	ROR	All				15	44				
	ROR	All				15	44				
Increase number of	ROR	PDO				15	50				
lanes (cont'd)	Overturn	All			<5,000/lane	15	42				
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane	15	52				
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	42				
	Rear-end	All			>5,000/lane	15	52				
	Rear-end	All				15	32				
	Rear-end	All				15	32				
	Rear-end	All				15	40				
	Rear-end	All				15	53				
	Rear-end	PDO				15	53				
	Right- angle	All			<5,000/lane	15	35				
	Right- angle	All			>5,000/lane	15	45				
	Right- angle	All				15	15				
	Right- angle	PDO				15	46				
	Sideswipe	All			<5,000/lane	15	38				

				Road Type			Effectiven	ess	-	-	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type		Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Range Error Low Hig			Study Type
									LOW	High	
	Sideswipe	All			>5,000/lane	15	44				
Increase number of	Sideswipe	All				15	30				
lanes (cont'd)	Sideswipe	All				15	30				
	Sideswipe	All				15	35				
	Sideswipe	PDO				15	64				
Increase vertical grade by 1%	All	All	Rural	2-lane		23	-1.6P; P=percent grade (a	absolut	e valu	e)	
	All	All				15	26				
	All	All	All	All		1	10				
	All	All				15	10				
	All	All				15	10				
Install acceleration/	All	All				15	10				
deceleration lanes	All	All				15	25				
	All	All				15	75				
	Rear-end	All				15	75				
	Sideswipe	All				15	75				
	All	All				15	67				
Install channelized lane	All	PDO				15	62				
	Rear-end	All				15	93				
Install climbing lane (where large difference between car and truck speed)	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		38	33				
Install passing/slimbing	All	All	All	All		1	20				
Install passing/climbing lane	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		38	33				
Install shoulder	All	All				15	9				
	Head-on	Fatal/ Injury				15	50				
Install shoulder bus	Head-on	PDO				15	86				
lanes	Left-turn	Fatal/ Injury				15	42				
	Left-turn	PDO				15	57				

		Deily Troffie Effectiven						ess	-		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Error		nge High	Study Type
	ROR	PDO				15	27				
	Right-	Fatal/				15	34				
	angle	Injury				15	34				
Install shoulder bus lanes (cont'd)	Right- angle	PDO				15	31				
	Sideswipe	Fatal/ Injury				15	27				
	Sideswipe	PDO				15	8				
	All	All				15	18				
Install truck escape ramp	ROR	All				15	75				
	Rear-end	All				15	33				
Lengthen culverts	All	All				15	44				
	All	All				15	40				
	All	All				15	48				
	All	All				15	30				
	All	All	Urban	4-lane highway	8,000-17,400	17	37	1			EB Before- After
	All	All		4-lane		42	26		23	28	
	All	Fatal/ Injury	Urban	4-lane highway	8,000-17,400	17	0	2			EB Before- After
Narrow cross section (4	All	PDO	Urban	4-lane highway	8,000-17,400	17	46	1			EB Before- After
to 3 lanes with two way left-turn lane)	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-lane highway	8,000-17,400	17	24	2			EB Before- After
	Rear-end	All	Urban	4-lane highway	8,000-17,400	17	31	2			EB Before- After
	Right- angle	All	Urban	4-lane highway	8,000-17,400	17	37	1			EB Before- After
	All	All	Urban		3,718-26,376	62	29	2			EB Before- After
Reduce horizontal curve	All	All				15	38				
angle	All	All				15	40				
Reduce shoulder width (6 ft to 0 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	-12	3			Cohort

							Effectiven	Effectiveness				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	e Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type	
	51				(veh/day)		/ Function	Error	Low	High		
Reduce shoulder width (6 ft to 1 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	-17	6			Cohort	
Reduce shoulder width (6 ft to 2 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	-11	2			Cohort	
Reduce shoulder width (6 ft to 4 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	-6	2			Cohort	
Reduce shoulder width (6 ft to 5 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	-2	2				
Reduce vertical grade by 1%	All	All	Rural	2-lane		23	1.6P; P=percent grade (absolute value)			e)	Expert Panel	
Resurface pavement	All	All				15	28					
and improve superelevation	Wet pavement	All				15	51					
Stabilize shoulder	All	All				15	25					
Stabilize shoulder and dropoff	All	All	All	All		1	25					
Vary grade	All	All		Freeway		6	100(1-((EXP(bPg)-1.0)Ps+1.0)); b=regression coefficient (for values of b, refer to source), Pg=percent grade(absolu value), Ps=proportion of crash type subse (for values of Ps, refer to source).					
	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway					b,			
Vary horizontal curvature	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((1.55Lc+80.2/R-0. Lc=length of horizontal curadius (ft), Is=presence o curve (1 if a spiral transiti otherwise).					

							Effectiven							
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type			
Vary inside shoulder width	All	All		Freeway 6 100(1-((EXP(-0.021(Wis-Wsb))- 1.0)(Pi/0.15)+1.0)); Wis=inside shoulder width (ft), Wsb=base inside shoulder (ft) (=4.0 for four lanes, 10.0 for six or lanes), Pi=proportion of crash type su (for values of Pi, refer to source).					should ulder v six or pe sut	vidth more				
	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.021(Wis-4))- 1.0)(Pi/0.16)+1.0)); Wis=inside shoulder width (ft), Pi=proportion of crash type subset (for values of Pi, refer to source).							
	All	All		Freeway		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.047(WI-1 1.0)(Pi/0.37)+1.0)); WI=la Pi=proportion of crash typ values of Pi, refer to sourc	ne wid e subs						
Vary lane width	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.047(WI-1: 1.0)(Pi/0.36)+1.0)); WI=Ia Pi=proportion of crash typ values of Pi, refer to sourc	ne wid e subs						
	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.040(WI-12))- 1.0)(Pi/0.24)+1.0)); WI=lane width (ft), Pi=proportion of crash type subset (for values of Pi, refer to source)							
Vary outside shoulder width	All	All		Freeway		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.021(Ws-1 1.0)(Pi/0.15)+1.0)); Ws=o width (ft), Pi=proportion o (for values of Pi, refer to s	utside f crash	types					

							Effectiven				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Rar Low	-	Study Type
Vary outside shoulder width (cont'd)	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.021(Ws-8 1.0)(Pi/0.16)+1.0)); Ws=0 width (ft), Pi=proportion o (for values of Pi, refer to s				
Vary shoulder width	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.014(Ws-1 1.0)(Pi/0.088)+1.0)); Ws= Pi=proportion of crash typ values of Pi, refer to sour				
Vary side slopes	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((EXP(0.692(1/Ss- 1.0)Ps+1.0)), Ss= horizor change in elevation (aver segment, ft), Ps=proportion subset (for values of Ps, r				
Vary spiral transition curvature	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((1.55Lc+80.2/R- 0.012)/(1.55Lc+80.2/R))); horizontal curve (mi), R=c				
Vary superelevation	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	0 through -15 according to superelevation deficiency		to sour	ce).	
Vary uncurbed cross- sections	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.074)(1-Po 0.225)Poff-road)); Poff-ro off-road crashes.				
	Head-on	All				15	12				
Widen lane (add 1 ft to	ROR	All				15	12				
both sides)	Sideswipe	All				15	12				
Widen lane (add 2 ft to	Head-on	All				15	23				
both sides)	ROR	All				15	23				
Widen lane (add 2 ft to both sides) (cont'd)	Sideswipe	All				15	23				

				Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)		Effectiven				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type			Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	Head-on	All				15	32			5	
Widen lane (add 3 ft to	ROR	All				15	32				
both sides)	Sideswipe	All				15	32				
	Head-on	All				15	40				
Widen lane (add 4 ft to	ROR	All				15	40				
both sides)	Sideswipe	All				15	40				
Widen lane (initially less than 9 ft)	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane	400-2,000	38	28		5	50	
Widen lane (initially between 9 ft and 10.75 ft)	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane	400-2,000	38	16		2	30	
,	All	All	All			15	56				
	All	All	Rural			21	100(1-((AMFRA-1.0)PRA AMFRA=accident modific related accidents (for valu refer to source), PRA=pro crashes constituted by re	fication factor for alues of AMFRA, proportion of total			Expert Panel
Widen lanes	All	All				15	50				
	Fixed object	All				15	5				
	Head-on	All				15	70				
	Head-on	All				15	5				
	Head-on	All				15	70				
	ROR	All				15	49				
	Overturn	All				15	5				
	Sideswipe	All				15	52				
Widen lanes (cont'd)	Sideswipe	All				15	5				
	Sideswipe	All				15	52				
Widen shoulder (from 6 to 7 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	-1	4			

		duotion			Della Treffie		Effectiven				Study Type
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	
Widen shoulder (from 6 to 8 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	4	2			
Widen shoulder (from 6 to 9 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	21	6			
Widen shoulder (from 6 to >9 ft)	All	All	Rural	2-lane		20	18	3			
Widen shoulder	All	All	All	All		1	20				
Widen shoulder (initially less than 1 ft)	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane	400-2,000	38	25		9	40	
Widen shoulder (initially between 1 ft and 3.3 ft)	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane	400-2,000	38	13		6	20	
Widen shoulder (initially less than or equal to 4 ft)	All	All	All	All		1	20				
Widen shoulder (initially more than 4 ft)	All	All	All	All		1	35				
	All	All				15	29				
	All	All				15	57				
	All	All				15	20				
	All	All				15	8				
Widen shoulder (paved)	All	All				15	32				
	All	All				15	50				
	Fixed object	All				15	15				
	Head-on	All				15	45				
	Head-on	All				15	75				
	Head-on	All				15	15				
	ROR	All				15	60				
	Ped	All				15	71				
Widen shoulder (paved) (cont'd)	Sideswipe	All				15	28				
	Sideswipe	All				15	41				
	Sideswipe	All				15	15				

					Daily Traffic		Effectiven	ess	-		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type			Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
					(veh/day)		, i dilotion	2.1.01	Low	High	
Widen shoulder (paved) (from 0 to 2 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	16				
	ROR	All				15	16				
Widen shoulder (paved)	Fixed object	All				15	29				
(from 0 to 4 ft)	ROR	All				15	29				
Widen shoulder (paved) (from 0 to 6 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	40				
	ROR	All				15	40				
Widen shoulder (paved)	Fixed object	All				15	49				
(from 0 to 8 ft)	ROR	All				15	49				
Widen shoulder	All	All	Rural	2-lane		15	15				
(unpaved)	All	All				15	22				
Widen shoulder (unpaved) (from 0 to 2 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	13				
	ROR	All				15	13				
Widen shoulder	Fixed object	All				15	25				
(unpaved) (from 0 to 4 ft)	ROR	All				15	25				
Widen shoulder	Fixed object	All				15	34				
(unpaved) (from 0 to 6 ft)	ROR	All				15	34				
Widen shoulder	Fixed object	All				15	43				
(unpaved) (from 0 to 8 ft)	ROR	All				15	43				

Table 7: Median Countermeasures



							Effectiven		,		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
					(ven/uay)			EII0	Low	High	
				MEDIAN CO	DUNTERMEAS	SURES					
	All	All	All	All		1	15				
	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		5	-94	56			Meta Analysis
	All	Fatal/ Injury	Urban	2-lane		5	39	10			Meta Analysis
	All	Injury	Rural	Multilane		5	12	3			Meta Analysis
Install median	All	Injury	Urban	Multilane		5	22	2			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	Rural	Multilane		5	18	3			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	Rural	2-lane		5	-128	55			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	Urban	Multilane		5	-9	2			Meta Analysis
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	44				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	52				
	All	All	All	All		1	25				
Install median (flush)	All	All				15	15				
	All	All				15	15				
	All	Fatal				15	90				
	Left-turn	All			<5,000/lane	15	72				
	Left-turn	All			>5,000/lane	15	78				
	All	All	All	All		27	86	3			EB Before- After
	All	All		Multilane divided		5	-24	3			Meta Analysis
	All	All				15	19				
In stall as a disc. Is such	All	All	All	All		1	5				
Install median barrier	All	All				15	5				
	All	All				15	15				
	All	All				15	19				
	All	All				15	20				
	All	All				15	25				
	All	All				15	25				

							Effectiver			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	nge High	Study Type
	All	All				15	36			
	All	Fatal		Multilane divided		5	43	10		Meta Analysis
	All	Fatal	All	All		1	65			
	All	Fatal				15	65			
	All	Fatal/ Injury	All	All		27	88	5		EB Before- After
Install median barrier (cont'd)	All	Injury		Multilane divided		5	30	6		Meta Analysis
	All	Injury	All	All		1	40			
	All	Injury				15	40			
	ROR	All				15	35			
	Right- angle	All			<5,000/lane	15	58			
	Right- angle	All			>5,000/lane	15	54			
	All	All		Highway (three-lane)		5	-34	74		Meta Analysis
	All	Fatal		Highway (three-lane)		5	100	254		Meta Analysis
Install median barrier (cable)	All	Injury		Highway (three-lane)		5	26	84		Meta Analysis
	All	Injury		Multilane divided		5	29	11		Meta Analysis
	Head-on	Fatal	Rural	Highway		9	92			Simple Before-After
	All	Fatal				15	90			
Install median barrier (concrete)	All	Injury		Multilane divided		5	-15	36		Meta Analysis
	All	Injury				15	10			
Install median barrier (steel)	All	Injury		Multilane divided		5	35	8		Meta Analysis

							Effectiven		-	opuit	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
									Low	High	
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	17				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	17				
	All	All				15	17				
Install or upgrade	ROR	All			<5,000/lane	15	56				
median barrier near gore	ROR	All			>5,000/lane	15	56				
area	ROR	All				15	56				
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	39				
	Rear-end	All			>5,000/lane	15	39				
	Rear-end	All				15	39				
	All	All				15	20				
lustell usional usediau	All	All				15	25				
Install raised median	Head-on	All				15	75				
	Ped	All				15	25				
	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1-((b0(EXP(b1Wm^b 1.0)+1.0)/(b0(EXP(b1x16 b0, b1, and b2=regressio values of b0, b1, and b2, Wm=median width (ft).	b [^] b2)- [·] n coeff	icients	(for	
Vary median width	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((b0(EXP(b1Wm^b 1.0)+1.0)/(b0(EXP(b1Wm b0, b1, and b2=regressio values of b0, b1, and b2, Wm=median width (ft), W width (ft) (16 for surfaced depressed median).	nb^b2)- n coeff refer to /mb=ba	icients o sourc ase me	(for ce), dian	
	All	All		Freeway		6	100(1-((b0(EXP(b1Wm^b 1.0)+1.0)/(b0(EXP(b1Wm b0, b1, and b2=regressio values of b0, b1, and b2, Wm=median width (ft), W width (ft) (24 for surfaced depressed median).	nb^b2)- n coeff refer to /mb=ba	icients o sourc ise me	(for ce), dian	

 Table 8: Roadside Countermeasures



					D. 11. T. 11.		Effectiven				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Ra	nge	Study Type
					(ven/day)			EII0	Low	High	
				ROADSIDE (COUNTERME	ASURES					
Install frontage road	All	All				15	40				
install nontage toau	All	All	All	All		1	40				
	Snow	All				15	53				
Install snow fencing	Snow	All				15	71				
_	Snow	All				15	35				
Remove poles by burying utility lines	All	All				15	40				
Remove obstacles on curves to improve sight distance	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		38	5				
	All	All	All	All		27	38	10			EB Before- After
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	18				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	17				
	All	All	All	All		1	30				
	All	All	All	All		1	25				
	All	All				15	29				
	All	All				15	35				
	All	All				15	61				
	All	All				15	20				
Remove or relocate fixed	All	All				15	25				
objects outside of clear	All	All				15	30				
zone	All	All				15	30				
	All	All				15	55				
	All	All				15	25				
	All	Fatal	All	All		1	50				
	All	Fatal	All	All		1	40				
	All	Fatal				15	40				
	All	Fatal				15	50				
	All	Fatal				15	40				
	All	Fatal				15	50				
	All	Fatal/ Injury	All	All		27	38	13			EB Before- After
	All	Injury	All	All		1	30				

,							Effectiven	iess			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
					(ven/day)			LIIUI	Low	High	
	All	Injury	All	All		1	25				
	All	Injury				15	25				
	All	Injury				15	30				
	All	Injury				15	25				
	All	Injury				15	30				
	Fixed object	All				15	65				
	Fixed object	All	Urban			15	20				
Remove or relocate fixed	Fixed object	All			<400	15	40				
objects outside of clear zone (cont'd)	Fixed object	All				15	88				
	Fixed object	All				15	90				
	Fixed object	All				15	100				
	Fixed object	All				15	75				
	ROR	All				15	71				
	Overturn	All			<5,000/lane	15	42				
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane	15	44				
Vary horizontal clearance	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((EXP(-0.0137(Wh 1.0)Ps+1.0)); Whc=horizo (average for length of seg Ps=proportion of crash ty values of Ps, refer to sour	ontal clo gment, pe sub	earanc ft),		
Vary utility pole density	All	All		Freeway		6	100(1-((fp-1.0)Ps+1.0)); fp=((0.0000984ADT+0.03 0.04)/(0.0000128ADT+0.03 pole density (two-way tota =average pole offset from traveled way (ft), Ps=prop type subset (for values of source).	075); D al) (pol n neare portion	p=utili e/mi), ' st edg of cras	ty Wo e of	

							Effectiven	iess		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Range Low High	Study Type
Vary utility pole density (cont'd)	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-((fp-1.0)Ps+1.0)); fp=((0.0000984ADT+0.03 0.04)/(0.0000128ADT+0.03 pole density (two-way tota =average pole offset from traveled way (ft), Ps=prop type subset (for values of source)	075); Dj al) (pole n neares portion c	p=utility e/mi), Wo st edge of of crash	
	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1-(0.022(fp-1.0)+1.0) fp=((0.0000984ADT+0.03 0.04)/(0.0000649ADT+1. pole density (two-way tota Wo=average pole offset f of traveled way (ft)	354Dp)V 128); Dj al) (pole	p=utility es/mi),	
Widen clear zone (add 5 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	13			
Widen clear zone (add 8 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	21			
Widen clear zone (add 10 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	25			
Widen clear zone (add 15 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	35			
Widen clear zone (add 20 ft)	Fixed object	All				15	44			

Table 9: Signs / Markings / Operational Countermeasures



							Effectiver		,	•	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
					SIGNS				Low	High	
					310113						Meta
Implement sign corrections to MUTCD	All	Injury	Urban	Local		5	15	10			Analysis
standards	All	PDO	Urban	Local		5	7	6			Meta Analysis
	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		38	20				
	All	All				15	35				
Install chevron signs on horizontal curves	All	All	Urban	Arterial (urban)		5	64	49			Simple Before-After
	All	All				15	20				
	All	All				15	35				
	All	All				15	50				
	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		38	10				
	All	Injury				5	30	71			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO				5	8	76			Meta Analysis
Install curve advance	All	All				15	30				
warning signs	All	Fatal				15	55				
0 0	All	All				15	30				
	All	All				15	23				
	All	Injury				15	20				
	Head-on	All				15	29				
	ROR	All				15	30				
	ROR	All	All	All		1	30				
	All	Injury				5	13	9			Meta Analysis
Install curve advance warning signs (advisory	All	PDO				5	29	23			Meta Analysis
speed)	All	All				15	29				-
	All	All				15	20				

							Effectiven	less	-	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Range Low High	Study Type
Install curve advance warning signs (flashing beacon)	All	All				15	30			
	All	All				15	11			
Install delineators	Head-on	All				15	67			
(general)	Night	All				15	25			
(general)	ROR	All				15	34			
	Sideswipe	All				15	67			
Install dynamic/variable	All	Injury		Freeways		5	44	17		Meta Analysis
accident warning signs	Rear-end	Injury		Freeways		5	16	10		Meta Analysis
Install dynamic/variable queue warning signs	Rear-end	PDO		Freeways		5	-16	15		Meta Analysis
Install dynamic/variable	All	All				5	46	17		Meta Analysis
speed warning signs	All	Injury				5	41	62		Meta Analysis
Install guide signs (general)	All	All	All			15	15			
Install guideposts or barrier reflectors	All	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane		38	8			
Install illuminated signs	All	All				15	15			
Install lane assignment	Rear-end	All				15	10			
signs	Sideswipe	All				15	20			
Install nonvehicular	All	All				15	10			
(animal) reflectors	Night	All				15	25			
	All	All				15	5			
Install pavement	Wet pavement	All				15	20			
condition warning signs	Wet pavement	All				15	20			
	Wet weather	All	All	All		1	20			

							Effectiven			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	 nge High	Study Type
	All	All				15	25		 	
	All	All				15	20			
Install post-mounted	All	All				15	25			
delineators (curves)	All	All				15	30			
	Night	All	All	All		1	30			
Install post-mounted	All	Injury	Rural	2-lane		5	-4	10		Meta Analysis
delineators (tangents and curves combined)	All	PDO	Rural	2-lane		5	-5	7		Meta Analysis
	All	All				15	25			
				P	AVEMENT					
Improve pavement	All	All				15	13			
friction	Ped	All				15	10			
	All	All				15	22			
	All	All	All	All		1	25			
	All	All				15	18			
	All	All				15	25			
Improve pavement	All	All				15	25			
friction (groove shoulder)	All	Fatal/ Injury				15	18			
	All	PDO				15	17			
	ROR	All				15	27			
	ROR	All				15	27			
	All	All				15	21			
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	37			
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	21			
	All	All	All	All		1	25			
	All	All				15	10			
Improve pavement	All	All				15	14			
friction (grooving)	All	All				15	25			
	Fixed object	All			<5,000/lane	15	36			
	Fixed object	All			>5,000/lane	15	19			
	ROR	All			<5,000/lane	15	41			

							Effectiven			-	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	ROR	All			>E 000/lana	15	40		LOW	підп	
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane <5,000/lane	15 15	54				
	Overturn	All			<5,000/lane	15	35				
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	54				
Improve pavement	Rear-end	All			>5,000/lane	15	35				
friction (grooving)	Wet pavement	All				15	60				
(cont'd)	Wet pavement	All			<5,000/lane	15	64				
	Wet pavement	All			>5,000/lane	15	54				
	Wet pavement	All	All	All		1	60				
Improve pavement friction (increase skid	Wet pavement	All	All	All		1	45				
resistance)	Wet	Fatal/	Rural	2-lane		38	30				
,	pavement	Injury			=						
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	13				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	20				
	Fixed object	All			<5,000/lane	15	43				
	Fixed object	All			>5,000/lane	15	34				
	Head-on	All			<5,000/lane	15	43				
	Head-on	All			>5,000/lane	15	61				
Improve pavement	Head-on	Fatal/ Injury				15	19				
friction (overlay)	Head-on	PDO				15	30				
	Left-turn	Fatal/ Injury				15	41				
	Left-turn	PDO				15	34				
	ROR	Fatal/ Injury				15	28				
	ROR	PDO				15	29				
	Rear-end	Fatal/ Injury				15	12				

							Effectiven		-	-	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	Rear-end	PDO				15	21				
	Right- angle	All				15	23				
	Right- angle	Fatal/ Injury				15	11				
	Right- angle	PDO				15	31				
Improve pavement	Sideswipe	All			<5,000/lane	15	43				
friction (overlay) (cont'd)	Sideswipe	All			>5,000/lane	15	61				
	Sideswipe	Fatal/ Injury				15	12				
	Sideswipe	PDO				15	27				
	Wet pavement	All			<5,000/lane	15	23				
	Wet pavement	All			>5,000/lane	15	50				
	All	All				15	17				
	All	All				15	10				
Improve pavement	All	All				15	24				
friction (curve overlay)	Head-on	All				15	86				
	Wet pavement	All				15	51				
Improve pavement friction (resurface with deicing additives)	Head-on	All				15	31				
, ,,	All	All				15	75				
	Fixed object	All				15	93				
Improve pavement	Head-on	All				15	90				
friction (resurface with open-graded mix)	Sideswipe	All				15	90				
	Wet pavement	All				15	91				

							Effectiven	ess	-		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
Improve pavement friction (skid treatment with overlay)	Ped	Fatal/ Injury				15	3				
	All	All	Rural	2-lane	5,000-22,000	5	14	5			EB Before- After
	All	Injury	Rural	2-lane	5,000-22,000	5	15	8			EB Before- After
	Head-on	All	Rural	2-lane highway		26	55				Simple Before-After
	Head-on	Fatal	Rural	2-lane highway		26	68				Simple Before-After
Install centerline rumble strips	Head-on	Injury (minor)	Rural	2-lane highway		26	26				Simple Before-After
	Head-on	Injury (major)	Rural	2-lane highway		26	33				Simple Before-After
	Head-on/ Sideswipe	All	Rural	2-lane	5,000-22,000	5	21	12			EB Before- After
	Head-on/ Sideswipe	Injury	Rural	2-lane	5,000-22,000	5	25	15			EB Before- After
Install or upgrade curbing	Fixed object	All				15	50				
	All	All	Rural	Multilane divided		8	16				Simple Before-After
	All	Injury	Rural	Multilane divided		8	17				Simple Before-After
	ROR	All	Rural	2-lane	>4,000	41	13	8			
Install shoulder rumble	ROR	All	Rural	Multilane divided		8	10				Simple Before-After
strips	ROR	All	Rural	Highway		16	27	22	22	33	
	ROR	All	All	Freeway		19	18	7			Comparison Group Before- After
	ROR	All	Rural	Freeway		19	21	10			Comparison Group Before After

_							Effectiven				
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	ROR	All	Rural	All		57	34				
	ROR	All	Rural	Arterial		57	16				
	ROR	All	Rural	Between ramps		57	34				
	ROR	All	Rural	Highway		57	38				
	ROR	All	Rural	Three-lane		57	36				
	ROR	All	Rural	2-lane		57	32				
Install shoulder rumble strips (cont'd)	ROR	Fatal/ Injury	Rural	2-lane	>4,000	41	18	12			
	ROR	Injury	Rural	Multilane divided		8	22				Simple Before-After
	ROR	Injury	All	Freeway		19	13	12			Comparison Group Before- After
	ROR	Injury	Rural	Freeway		19	7	16			Comparison Group Before- After
Install shoulder rumble strips on illuminated highways	ROR	All	Rural	All		57	41				
Install shoulder rumble strips on unilluminated highways	ROR	All	Rural	All		57	31				
	All	All				15	15				
Pave shoulder	Head-on	All				15	86				
	Night	All				15	62				
Vary centerline rumble strip width	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	12	6			
Vary shoulder rumble	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	100(1-(-0.07Pi+1.0)); Pi= type subset (for values of source).			crash	
strips	All	All		Freeway		6	100(1-(-0.12Pi+1.0)); Pi= influential crashes that oc type i			ay	

							Effectiven			•	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		ange High	Study Type
				N	IARKINGS				LOW	Tilgi	
Delineate multiple lanes (painted lane lines)	All	All	Urban	Multilane		13	18	22			Meta Analysis
	All	All				15	33				•
	All	All	All	All		1	36				
	All	All				15	35				
Install centerline	All	All				15	30				
markings	All	Injury	All	2-lane		13	1	6			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	All	2-lane		13	-1	5			Meta Analysis
Install chevron converging pattern	All	All	Urban			18	38	6			Simple Before-After
markings on pavement	All	Injury		Freeways		5	56	26			Meta Analysis
Install edgelines and	All	All	Rural	Undivided	1,000-4,000	2	-3	21			EB Before- After
centerlines	All	Injury	All	All		13	24	11			Meta Analysis
Install edgelines, centerlines and delineators	All	Injury	All	All		13	45	11			Meta Analysis
	All	All			<5,000/lane	15	44				
	All	All			>5,000/lane	15	38				
	All	All	All	All		1	20				
	All	All				15	24				
	All	All				15	30				
	All	All				15	4				
Install edgeline markings	All	All				15	15				
	All	All				15	15				
	All	All				15	25				
	All	Injury				15	15				
	All	PDO				15	8				
	Fixed object	All			<5,000/lane	15	66				

							Effectiven	ess			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
					(von#ddy)			2.1.01	Low	High	
	Fixed object	All			>5,000/lane	15	59				
	ROR	All				15	30				
Install edgeline markings	ROR	All	All	All		1	25				
(cont'd)	Overturn	All			<5,000/lane	15	45				
	Overturn	All			>5,000/lane	15	50				
	Rear-end	All			<5,000/lane	15	45				
	Rear-end	All			>5,000/lane	15	50				
Install edgeline markings	All	Injury	Rural	2-lane		13	3	4			Meta Analysis
(from 4 to 6 in)	All	PDO	Rural	2-lane		13	3	11			Meta Analysis
Install edgeline markings	All	Injury	Rural	2-lane		13	-5	8			Meta Analysis
(8 in)	All	PDO	Rural	2-lane		13	1	15			Meta Analysis
	Night	All	Rural	4-lane freeway	≤20000	4	-13	14			EB Before- After
	Night	All	Rural	4-lane freeway	<60000	4	33	21			EB Before- After
	Night	All	Rural	4-lane freeway	20,001- 60,000	4	6	21			EB Before- After
Install raised pavement	Night	All	Rural	2-lane, DOC>3.5	≤5,000	4	-43	9			EB Before- After
markers (snowplowable) where DOC = Degree of	Night	All	Rural	2-lane, DOC>3.5	5,001-15,000	4	-26	10			EB Before- After
Curvature	Night	All	Rural	2-lane, DOC>3.5	15,001- 20,000	4	-3	11			EB Before- After
	Night	All	Rural	2-lane, DOC<3.5	≤5,000	4	-16	3			EB Before- After
	Night	All	Rural	2-lane, DOC<3.5	5,001-15,000	4	1	5			EB Before- After
	Night	All	Rural	2-lane, DOC<3.5	15,001- 20,000	4	24	7			EB Before- After

•							Effectiven		nay D	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Rar Low	Study Type
				RE	GULATORY					
	All	All				15	53			
Install no-passing line	Head-on	All				15	40			
	Sideswipe	All				15	40			
Lower posted apod	All	All	All	All		40	-7			Paired comparison
Lower posted speed	Fatal/injury	All	All	All		40	-5			Paired comparison
Lower posted speed by 5 mph	All	All	All	All		40	-44			Paired comparison
Lower posted speed by 10 mph	All	All	All	All		40	7			Paired comparison
Lower posted speed by 15-20 mph	All	All	All	All		40	5			Paired comparison
	All	All	Urban	Arterial (64ft)	30,000	5	42	8		Simple Before-After
	All	All				15	22			
	All	All				15	8			
	All	All				15	35			
	All	Injury	Urban	Arterial		5	20	5		Meta Analysis
Prohibit on-street parking	All	Injury	Urban	Arterial (64ft)	30,000	5	35	14		Simple Before-After
	All	PDO	Urban	Arterial		5	27	2		Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	Urban	Arterial (64ft)	30,000	5	48	1		Simple Before-After
	Fixed object	All				15	40			
Daigo postod spood	All	All	All	All		40	11			Paired comparison
Raise posted speed	Fatal/injury	All	All	All		40	7			Paired comparison
Raise posted speed by 5 mph	All	All	All	All		40	8			Simple Before-After

							Effectiven			<u> </u>	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Ra	nge	Study Type
					(ven/uay)			LIIU	Low	High	
Raise posted speed by 10-15 mph	All	All	All	All		40	15				Simple Before-After
Reduce mean speed by	All	Fatal	All	All		5	17	5			Meta analysis
5% through speed limit change and enforcement	All	Injury	All	All		5	7	3			Meta analysis
change and enforcement	All	PDO	All	All		5	5	4			Meta analysis
Reduce mean speed by	All	Fatal	All	All		5	32	9			Meta analysis
10% through speed limit change and enforcement	All	Injury	All	All		5	15	5			Meta analysis
change and enforcement	All	PDO	All	All		5	10	8			Meta analysis
Deduce mean aroad by	All	Fatal	All	All		5	44	14			Meta analysis
Reduce mean speed by 15% through speed limit change and enforcement	All	Injury	All	All		5	22	8			Meta analysis
change and enforcement	All	PDO	All	All		5	15	12			Meta analysis
Vary curb parking extent	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1-(1+Ppk(Bpk-1))), Bpk=(1.10+0.365lu2+0.60 1.0)Pap+1.0); Ppk=propol segment length with para parking (=0.5 Lpk/L), Lpk allocated to parking (mi), variable for cross section street; 0 otherwise), Pb/o street with parking, the pr business or office as an a fap/pp=ratio of crashes of parking to those on street parking, Pap= for that par parking, the proportion with	rtion o llel or a =curb i lu2=in (1 for the for the oportionadjacer n stree ts with rt of the	f streef angle miles dicator wo-lan at part on that ts nt land ts with paralle e stree	e of the has use, angle el t with	

							Effectiver			-	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge	Study Type
					())					High	
	All	All		Freeway		6	100(1-EXP(-0.012(V-55)) (mph)); V=sp	eed li	mit	
Vary speed limit	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1- ((EXP(0.252IV<=30+0.31 road+1.15((V^2.066)(Exp road)))); Poff-road=propo that occur off the roadwa IV<=30 and IV>=45, refer (EXP(b(V-40)))); b= vary V=Speed limit (mph).	o(-0.068 rtion of y, for va r to sou	89V)))(crash alues (irce; 1	(1-Poff- les of 00(1-	
				L	IGHTING						
	All	All	All	All		1	25				
	All	All				15	23				
	All	All				15	20				
	All	All				15	25				
-	All	Fatal	All	Freeway		5	73	71			Meta Analysis
	All	Fatal	All	Highway		5	69	36			Meta Analysis
	All	Fatal	Rural	Highway		5	73	72			Meta Analysis
	All	Fatal	Urban	Highway		5	63	52			Meta Analysis
Improve lighting	All	Injury	All	Freeway		5	27	12			Meta Analysis
	All	Injury	All	Highway		5	28	6			Meta Analysis
	All	Injury	Rural	Highway		5	20	12			Meta Analysis
	All	Injury	Urban	Highway		5	31	7			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	All	Freeway		5	32	26			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	All	Highway		5	18	7			Meta Analysis
	All	PDO	Rural	Highway		5	30	43			Meta
	All	FDO	nuiai		ombor 2008		50	40			Analysis Page 90

							Effectiven	less		o p oli ti	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	All	PDO	Urban	Highway		5	16	8			Meta Analysis
Improve lighting (control)	Night	All				15	37				
Improve lighting (cont'd)	Night	All				15	20				
	Night	All				15	45				
	Night	All				15	45				
	All	All				62	6				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel
Install lighting	All	Injury				62	8				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel
	Night	All				62	20				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel
	Night	Injury				62	29				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel
Install lighting at	All	All	All	All		27	50	17			EB Before- After
interchanges	All	Fatal/ Injury	All	All		27	26	38			EB Before- After
				OP	ERATIONAL						
	All	All		All		27	8	16			EB Before- After
	All	All		All		1	34		25	45	
	All	All				15	30				Simple Before-After
Add two-way left-turn lane	All	All				15	25				Simple Before-After
ומויכ	All	All				15	35				Cross- section
	All	All				15	34				Simple Before-After
	All	All				15	25				Simple Before-After

							Effectiven		,	<u> </u>	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	All	Fatal/ Injury		All		27	20	25			EB Before- After
	All	Injury				15	20				Cross- section
	All	PDO				15	35				Cross- section
	Head-on	All				15	36				
	Head-on	Fatal/ Injury				15	67				Simple Before-After
	Head-on	PDO				15	64				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All				15	33				
	Left-turn	All				15	33				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	Fatal/ Injury				15	17				Simple Before-After
Add two-way left-lane	Left-turn	PDO				15	38				Simple Before-After
(cont'd)	ROR	All				15	37				
	ROR	Fatal/ Injury				15	90				Simple Before-After
	ROR	PDO				15	16				Simple Before-After
	Ped	All				15	19				
	Rear-end	All				15	36				
	Rear-end	All				15	36				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	All				15	36				Cross- section
	Rear-end	Fatal/ Injury				15	32				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	PDO				15	38				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All				15	20				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	Fatal/ Injury				15	31				Simple Before-After

					Della Traffia		Effectiven		-	-	
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error		nge High	Study Type
	Right- angle	PDO				15	23				Simple Before-After
Add two-way left-lane (cont'd)	Sideswipe	Fatal/ Injury				15	32				Simple Before-After
	Sideswipe	PDO				15	37				Simple Before-After
Convert from two-way to	All	All				15	43				
one-way traffic	All	All	All	All		1	33				
Implement crossover at work zone	All	All		4-lane divided	6,800-38,000	12	0				Simple Before-After
	Head-on	All				15	31				
	Left-turn	Fatal/ Injury				15	37				
	Left-turn	PDO				15	13				
	ROR	Fatal/ Injury				15	19				
	ROR	PDO				15	30				
Implement maintenance	Ped	Fatal/ Injury				15	33				
and bituminous overlay	Ped	PDO				15	42				
	Rear-end	Fatal/ Injury				15	21				
	Right- angle	Fatal/ Injury				15	16				
	Right- angle	PDO				15	23				
	Sideswipe	PDO				15	29				
Implement single lane closure at work zone	All	All		4-lane divided	20,000- 41,500	12	-56				Simple Before-After
	All	All				15	32				
Improve drainage	All	All	All	All		1	20				
patterns	All	All				15	20				
	Wet pavement	All				15	40				

							Effectiven	iess			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Ra	nge	Study Type
					(veh/day)		/ Function	EII0	Low	High	
	Ped	All				15	74				
	Ped	All				15	75				
Install sidewalk (to avoid	Ped	All				15	89				
walking along roadway)	Ped	All				15	65				
	Ped	All				15	65				
	Ped	All				36	88				
	All	All		2-lane		15	32				
Reconfigure lanes within existing pavement width	All	Injury		2-lane		15	59				
(two to three in one	Left-turn	All		2-lane		15	46				
direction)	Rear-end	All		2-lane		15	46				
	Sideswipe	All		2-lane		15	46				
Reconfigure lanes within	All	All	Urban	Freeway	77,000- 126,000	5	-11	5			EB Before- After
existing pavement width (four to five in one	All	Fatal/ Injury	Urban	Freeway	77,000- 126,000	5	-11	8			EB Before- After
direction)	All	Fatal/ Injury/ PDO	Urban	Freeway	77,000- 126,000	5	-10	7			EB Before- After
Reconfigure lanes within	All	All	Urban	Freeway	77,000- 126,000	5	-3	8			EB Before- After
existing pavement width (five to six in one	All	Fatal/ Injury	Urban	Freeway	77,000- 126,000	5	-7	13			EB Before- After
direction)	All	Fatal/ Injury/ PDO	Urban	Freeway	77,000- 126,000	5	-4	11			EB Before- After
Reduce driveway density (general)	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	100(1-(EXP(0.008(Dd,b/c density of driveways serv office land uses (driveway	ing bus			
Remove unwarranted signals (one-way streets)	Ped	All				46	17				Comparison Group Before- After
Vary passing lanes	All	All	Rural	Rural Highway		6	0.25 for one direction with for two direction with four		lane; (0.35	

Countermeasure(s)							Effectiven	iess			
Countermeasure(s)	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Road Type	Daily Traffic Volume (veh/day)	Ref	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Ra Low	nge High	Study Type
							100(1-((ftk-1.0)(1-Poff-roa	ad)+1.0	-	riigii	
Vary truck presence	All	All	Urban	Urban Street		6	ftk=(2EXP(-0.059Pt)+0.0 road=proportion of crashe roadway, Pt=percent of tr 100(1-(1.0+Truck/Basei)) Truck and Basei, refer to	17Pt)/1 es that ruck pre	.506; l occur esence llues o	off the e;	

Tables for Pedestrian Crash Reduction Factors



Table 10: Signalization Countermeasures



Pedestrian Crashes

Countermeasures	Crock Turce	Crash	Aroo Turoo	Ref	Obs	E / Crash Reduction Factor	ffective Std		000	
Countermeasures	Crash Type	Severity	Area Type	IVEI	Obs	Function	Error	Low	nge High	Study Type
		SI	GNALIZATIO		NTERMEA		LIIOI	LOW	Tigri	
Add exclusive pedestrian phasing	Pedestrian	All		28		34		7	60	
Convert permissive or permissive/protected to	All	All		62		1	7			Empirical Before-After/ Expert Panel
protected only left-turn phasing	Left-turn	All		62		99	1			Empirical Before-After/ Expert Panel
Convert permissive to	All	All		62		0				Expert Panel
permissive/protected left-turn phasing	Left-turn	All		62		16	2			Expert Panel
Improve signal timing [to intervals specified by the ITE Determing Vehicle Change Intervals: A Proposed Recommended Practice (1985)]	All	Fatal/Injury		49		12	9			Experimental Design (Case- Control Study)
	Pedestrian	Fatal/Injury		49		37				Experimental Design (Case- Control Study)
Replace existing WALK / DON'T WALK signals with pedestrian countdown signal heads	Pedestrian	Fatal/Injury	Urban (San Francisco)	32		25				
	All	All		15		20				
	Pedestrian	All		15		53				
	Pedestrian	All		5		0				
Install pedestrian signal	All	All		15		25				
	All	All		15		15				
	Pedestrian	All		15		55				
	Pedestrian	All		15		50				
Modify signal phasing (implement a leading pedestrian interval)	Pedestrian	All		28		5				
Remove unwarranted signals (one-way street)	Pedestrian	All		46		17				Comparison Group Before- After

 Table 11: Geometric Countermeasures



Pedestrian Crashes

	–	Crash				Effectiveness					
Countermeasures	Crash Type	Seventy	Area Type	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Ra Low	nge High	Study Type	
		0	GEOMETRIC		FERMEAS	URES					
Convert unsignalized intersection to roundabout	Pedestrian	Fatal/Injury	Urban	11		27	12	44	3		
Convert intersection to roundabout	Pedestrian	All		55		89					
	Pedestrian	All		15		86					
	Pedestrian	All		1	14	90		60	95		
	Pedestrian	Fatal/Injury		15		90					
Install pedestrian	Pedestrian	PDO		15		90					
overpass/underpass	Pedestrian	All		15		100					
	Pedestrian	All		15		67					
	Pedestrian	All		15		5					
	Pedestrian	All		15		90					
Install pedestrian overpass/underpass (unsignalized intersection)	Pedestrian	All		28		13					
Install raised median	Pedestrian	All		15		25					
Install raised median (marked crosswalk) at unsignalized intersection	Pedestrian	All		60		46					
Install raised median (unmarked crosswalk) at unsignalized intersection	Pedestrian	All		60		39					
Install raised median (unsignalized intersection)	Pedestrian	All		28		69					
Install raised pedestrian crossing	All	All		5		30	67			Meta-analysis	
	All	Fatal/Injury		5		36	54			Meta-analysis	
	Pedestrian	All		28		8					
Install refuge islands	Pedestrian	All		28		56					
Install sidewalk (to avoid	Pedestrian	All		15		74					
walking along roadway)	Pedestrian	All		36		88		43	99	Case-Control Study	

Pedestrian Crashes

		Crash				Effectiveness						
Countermeasures	Crash Type	Severity	Area Type	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction Factor /	Std	Rai	nge	Study Type		
		Sevenity				Function	Error	Low	High	Study Type		
Install sidewalk (to avoid walking along roadway) (cont'd)	Pedestrian	All		15		75						
	Pedestrian	All		15		89						
	Pedestrian	All		15		65						
	Pedestrian	All		15		65						
Narrow cross section (4 to 3 lanes with two way left-turn lane)	All	All	Urban	62		29	2			EB Before- After		
Provide paved shoulder (of at least 4 feet) (to avoid walking along roadway)	Pedestrian	All		15		71						

Table 12: Signs / Markings / Operational Countermeasures



Pedestrian Crashes

		Crash	Area Type	Ref		Effectiveness					
Countermeasures	Crash Type	Severity			Obs	Crash Reduction Factor / Function	Std Error	Rar Low		Study Type	
SIGNS / MARKINGS / OPERATIONAL COUNTERMEASURES											
	All	All		62		4				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
Add intersection lighting	All	Injury		62		6				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
Add intersection lighting	Night	All		62		21				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
	Night	Injury		62		29				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
	All	All		62		6				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
Add as gmont lighting	All	Injury		62		8				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
Add segment lighting	Night	All		62		20				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
	Night	Injury		62		29				Meta Analysis/ Expert Panel	
	Pedestrian	All		15		39					
Convert two-way to all-way STOP control	Pedestrian	All		21	69	19				Before-After with Likelihood Functions	
	Pedestrian	All	Urban	30		39				Simple Before-After	
Improve lighting at intersections	Pedestrian	Fatal		13		78	87			Meta-analysis	
	Pedestrian	Injury		13		42	18			Meta-analysis	
Improve pavement friction	Pedestrian	All		15		10					
Improve pavement friction (skid treatment with overlay)	Pedestrian	Fatal/Injury		15		3					

Pedestrian Crashes

		Crash	Area Type	Ref	Obs	Effectiveness					
Countermeasures	Crash Type	Severity				Crash Reduction Factor /	Std	Range		Study Type	
		Seventy				Function	Error	Low	High	Study Type	
Increase enforcement related to motorist yielding in marked crosswalks combined with a public education campaign	Pedestrian	All		63		23					
Increase enforcement to reduce speed	Pedestrian	All		28		70					
Install far-side bus stops (signalized intersection)	Pedestrian	All		28		1					
Install object markers	Pedestrian	All		15		29					
	All	All		15		18					
	All	All		15		15					
Install school zone warning	All	All		15		20					
signs	All	All		15		15					
	All	All		15		20					
	Pedestrian	All	New Orleans	5		-81	88			Simple Before-After	
	Pedestrian	All	New York	5		-43	24			Simple Before-After	
Permit right-turn-on-red	Pedestrian	All	Ohio	5		-57	31			Simple Before-After	
	Pedestrian	All	Wisconsin	5		-108	51			Simple Before-After	
	All	All	Urban/ Suburban	62		100(1-(0.984)^n); n=numl intersection appraoches v prohibited				Expert Panel	
Prohibit left-turns	Pedestrian	All		15		10					
Remove marked unprotected crosswalks from arterial intersections	Pedestrian	All	Urban	5		73					
Restrict parking near intersections (to off-street)	Pedestrian	All		15		30					

References

- 1 Agent, K. R., Stamatiadis, N., and Jones, S., "<u>Development of Accident Reduction Factors</u>." KTC-96-13, Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, (1996)
- 2 Al-Masaeid, H. R. and Sinha, H., "<u>Analysis of Accident Reduction Potentials of Pavement Marking</u>." Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE, (1994) pp. 723-736.
- 3 Antonucci, N. D., Hardy, K. K., Slack, K. L., Pfefer, R., and Neuman, T. R., "<u>NCHRP Report 500 Volume 12: A Guide for Addressing</u> <u>Collisions at Signalized Intersections</u>." Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (2004)
- 4 Bahar, G., Mollett, C., Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Smiley, A., Smahel, T., and McGee, H., "<u>NCHRP Report 518: Safety Evaluation of Permanent</u> <u>Raised Pavement Markers</u>." Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (2004)
- 5 Bahar, G., Parkhill, M., Hauer, E., Council, F., Persaud, B., Zegeer, C., Elvik, R., Smiley, A., and Scott, B. "Prepare Parts I and II of a Highway Safety Manual: Knowledge Base for Part II". Unpublished material from NCHRP Project 17-27. (May 2007)
- 6 Bonneson, J., Zimmerman, K., and Fitzpatrick, K., "<u>Roadway Safety Design Synthesis</u>." Texas Transportation Institute for Texas DOT, (2005)
- 7 Brich, S. C. and Cottrell Jr, B. H., "<u>Guidelines for the Use of No U-Turn and No-Left Turn Signs</u>." VTRC 95-R5, Richmond, Virginia Department of Transportation, (1994)
- 8 Carrasco, O., McFadden, J., and Chandhok, P., "Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Shoulder Rumble Strips on Rural Multi-lane Divided Highways In Minnesota." 83rd Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington D.C., (2004)
- 9 Chandler, B., "<u>Eliminating Cross-Median Fatalities: Statewide Installation of Median Cable Barrier in Missouri</u>." TR News, No. 248, Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, (2007) pp. 29-31.
- 10 Clark, J. E., Maghsoodloo, S., and Brown, D. B., "<u>Public Good Relative to Right-Turn-on-Red in South Carolina and Alabama</u>." Transportation Research Record 926, Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (1983) pp. 24-31.
- 11 De Brabander, B. and Vereeck, L., "<u>Safety Effects of Roundabouts in Flanders: Signal type, speed limits and vulnerable road users</u>." AAP-1407, Elsevier Science, (2006)
- 12 Dudek, C. L., Richards, S. H., and Buffington, J. L., "<u>Some Effects of Traffic Control on Four-Lane Divided Highways</u>." Transportation Research Record 1086, Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (1986) pp. 20-30.
- 13 Elvik, R. and Vaa, T., "Handbook of Road Safety Measures." Oxford, United Kingdom, Elsevier, (2004)
- 14 Felipe, E., Mitic, D., and Zein, S. R., "<u>Safety Benefits of Additional Primary Signal Heads</u>." Vancouver, B.C., Insurance Corporation of British Columbia; G.D. Hamilton Associates, (1998)
- 15 Gan, A., Shen, J., and Rodriguez, A., "<u>Update of Florida Crash Reduction Factors and Countermeasures to Improve the Development of District Safety Improvement Projects</u>." Florida Department of Transportation, (2005)
- 16 Garder, P. and Davies, M., "<u>Safety Effect of Continuous Shoulder Rumble Strips on Rural Interstates in Maine</u>." 2006 TRB 85th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#06-2219, Washington, D.C., (2006)



- 17 Gates, T. J., Noyce, D. A., Talada, V., and Hill, L., "<u>The Safety and Operational Effects of "Road Diet" Conversion in Minnesota</u>." 2007 TRB 86th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#07-1918, Washington, D.C., (2007)
- 18 Griffin, L. I. and Reinhardt, R. N., "<u>A Review of Two Innovative Pavement Patterns that Have Been Developed to Reduce Traffic Speeds and Crashes</u>." Washington, D.C., AAA Foundation for Traffic Safety, (1996)
- 19 Griffith, M. S., "<u>Safety Evaluation of Rolled-In Continuous Shoulder Rumble Strips Installed on Freeways</u>." Transportation Research Record 1665, Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (1999) pp. 28-33.
- 20 Gross, F. and Jovanis, P. P., "Estimation of Safety Effectiveness of Changes in Shoulder Width using Case-Control and Cohort Methods." 2007 TRB 86th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#07-2762, Washington, D.C., (2007)
- 21 Harkey, D., Srinivasan, R., Zegeer, C., Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Eccles, K., Council, F. M., and McGee, H., "<u>Crash Reduction Factors for</u> <u>Traffic Engineering and Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Improvements: State of Knowledge Report</u>." Research Results Digest, Vol. 299, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, (2005)
- 22 Harwood, D. W., Bauer, K. M., Potts, I. B., Torbic, D. J., Richard, K. R., Kohlman Rabbani, E. R., Hauer, E., and Elefteriadou, L., "<u>Safety</u> <u>Effectiveness of Intersection Left- and Right-Turn Lanes</u>." FHWA-RD-02-089, McLean, Va., Federal Highway Administration, (2002)
- 23 Harwood, D. W., Council, F. M., Hauer, E., Hughes, W. E., and Vogt, A., "<u>Prediction of the Expected Safety Performance of Rural Two-Lane Highways</u>." FHWA-RD-99-207, McLean, Va., Federal Highway Administration, (2000)
- 24 Harwood, D. W., Pietrucha, M. T., Wooldridge, M. D., Brydia, R. E., and Fitzpatrick, K., "<u>NCHRP Report 375: Median Intersection Design</u>." Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (1995)
- Hauer, E., "Left Turn Protection, Safety, Delay and Guidelines: A Literature Review", (2004), www.roadsafetyresearch.com.
- 26 Hirasawa, M., Takuda, T., Asano, M., and Saito, K., "<u>Developing Optimal Centerline Rumble Strips and Evaluating Their Safety Benefits on</u> <u>National Highways in Hokkaido, Japan</u>." 2006 TRB 85th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#06-1968, Washington, D.C., (2006)
- 27 Hovey, P. W. and Chowdhury, M., "Development of Crash Reduction Factors." 14801(0), Ohio Department of Transport, (2005)
- 28 Institute of Transportation Engineers, "Toolbox of Countermeasures and Their Potential Effectiveness to Make Intersections Safer." Briefing Sheet 8, ITE, FHWA, (2004)
- 29 Lee, J. C., Wortman, R. H., Hook, D. J., and Poppe, M. J., "<u>Comparative Analysis of Leading and Lagging Left Turns</u>." Phoenix, Arizona Department of Transportation, (1991)
- 30 Lovell, J. and Hauer, E., "<u>The Safety Effect of Conversion to All-Way Stop Control</u>." Transportation Research Record 1068, Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (1986) pp. 103-107.
- 31 Lyon, C, Haq, A., Persaud, B. N., and Kodama, S. T., "<u>Development of Safety Performance Functions for Signalized Intersections in a Large Urban Area and Application to Evaluation of Left Turn Priority Treatment</u>." 2005 TRB 84th Annual Meeting: Compendium
- 46 Persaud, B., Hauer, E., Retting, R. A., Vallurupalli, R., and Mucsi, K., "Crash Reductions Related to Traffic Signal Removal in Philadelphia." Accident Analysis and Prevention, Vol. 29, No. 6, Oxford, N.Y., Pergamon Press, (1997) pp. 803-810.
- 47 Polanis, S. F., "Low-Cost Safety Improvements." Chapter 27, The Traffic Safety Toolbox: a primer on traffic safety, Washington, D.C., Institution of Transportation Engineers, (1999) pp. 265-272.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

- 48 Preston, H. and Schoenecker, T., "Bypass Lane Safety, Operations, and Design Study" MN/RC-2000-22, St. Paul, Minnesota Department of Transportation, (1999)
- 49 Retting, R. A., Chapline, J. F., and Williams, A. F., "<u>Changes in Crash Risk Following Re-timing of Traffic Signal Change Intervals</u>." Accident Analysis and Prevention, Vol. 34, No. 2, Oxford, N.Y., Pergamon Press, (2002) pp. 215-220.
- 50 Rodegerdts, L. A., Blogg, M., Wemple, E., Myers, E., Kyte, M., Dixon, M., List, G., Flannery, A., Troutbeck, R., Brilon, W., Wu, N., Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Harkey, D., and Carter, E. C., "<u>Roundabouts in the United States</u>." NCHRP Report 572, Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, (2007)
- 51 Rodegerdts, L. A., Nevers, B., and Robinson, B., "Signalized Intersections: Informational Guide." FHWA-HRT-04-091, (2004)
- 52 Sayed, T., El Esawey, M., and Pump, J., "<u>Evaluating the Safety Impacts of Improving Signal Visibility at Urban Signalized Intersections</u>." 2007 TRB 86th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#07-135, Washington, D.C., (2007)
- 53 Sayed, T., El-Basyouny, K., and Pump, J., "<u>Safety Evaluation of Stop Sign In-Fill Program</u>." Transportation Research Record 1953, Washington, D.C., Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, (2006) pp. 201-210.
- 54 Sayed, T., Leur, P., and Pump, J., "Safety Impact of Increased Traffic Signal Backboards Conspicuity." 2005 TRB 84th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#05-16, Washington, D.C., (2005)
- 55 Schoon, C. and van Minnen, J., "<u>The Safety of Roundabouts in The Netherlands</u>." Traffic Engineering & Control, Vol. 35, No. 3, London, United Kingdom, Hemming Information Services, (1994) pp. 142-148.
- 56 Shin, K. and Washington, S., "<u>The Impact of Red Light Cameras on Safety in Arizona</u>." 2006 TRB 85th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#06-1514, Washington, D.C., (2006)
- 57 Smith, E. B. and Ivan, J. N., "Evaluation of Safety Benefits and Potential Crash Migration Due to Shoulder Rumble Strip Installation on Freeways in Connecticut." 2005 TRB 84th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#05-1299, Washington, D.C., (2005)
- 58 Turner, B., "Crash reduction estimates for road safety treatments." Vermont, Australia, ARRB Group, (2007)
- 59 Xu, L., "<u>Right Turns Followed by U-Turns versus Direct Left Turns: A Comparison of Safety Issues</u>." ITE Journal, Vol. 71, No. 11, Washington, D.C., Institute of Transportation Engineers, (2001) pp. 36-43.
- 60 Zegeer, C., Stewart, R., Huang, H., and Lagerwey, P., "Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations: Executive Summary and Recommended Guidelines," FHWA-RD-01-075, (2002).
- 61 Zimmerman, K. and Bonneson, J., "In-Service Evaluation of the Detection-Control System for Isolated High-Speed Intersections." 2006 TRB 85th Annual Meeting: Compendium of Papers CD-ROM, Vol. TRB#06-1252, Washington, D.C., (2006)
- 62 Harkey, D., Srinivasan, R., Baek, J., Council, F. M., Eccles, K., Lefler, N., Gross, F., Persaud, B., Lyon, C., Hauer, E., and Bonneson, J. A., "Crash Reduction Factors for Traffic Engineering and ITS Improvements," NCHRP Report No. 617, (2008)
- 63 Van Houten, R. and Malenfant, J.E., "Effects of a Driver Enforcement Program on Yielding to Pedestrians," Journal of Applied Behavioral Analysis, No. 37, (2004) pp.351-363.



Report No. FHWA-SA-08-011

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Office of Safety 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, D.C. 20590 Web site: http//safety.fhwa.gov

