CAMPO LAPP SCORING CRITERIA

Appendix VI

12-Aug-16

ghway Effectiveness	50	10	current volume/capacity on the existing facility, or for new location projects, the v/c on parallel facilites being	-V/C < .2 0 points -V/C < .4 2 points -V/C < .6 6 points -V/C < .8 8 points
			improved (at the discretion of the LAPP Committee)	-V/C > .8 10 points
Project Phase Multiplier CON = 100% of S		20	Benefit/Cost Travel Time Savings / LAPP Cost	Scale to be created based on projects received
ROW= 50% of So PE/NEPA= 10% of So		10	FHWA crash reduction factor associated with project,	-CRF < 10% 0 points -CRF > 10% 2 points -CRF > 20% 4 points -CRF > 30% 6 points -CRF > 40% 8 points -CRF > 50% 10 points
		10	EPDO Score from TEAAS Report	Scale to be created based on projects received
ke/Ped Effectiveness	50			Length of Facility
Project Phase Multiplier CON = 100% of So ROW= 50% of So PE/NEPA= 10% of So	Score Score	10	Missing Link: Project must connect on both sides to an existing bike/ped facility to qualify for these points.	as completed via project: < .5 mi 1 point .5 mi to 2 mi 5 points >2 mi 10 points
		5	Major Obstacle: Project must overcome a railroad, river, or limited access roadway 4+ Lane Roadway Link must be CREATED, NOT IMPROVE AN EXISTING	5 points 3 points
		5	Safety Concern: Project is in an area with documented bicycle or pedestrian crash history related t facility design deficiency (TEAAS Report, Local Safety Data, Safety Audit)	ro
		5	Improve Commuter Pattern The project is within 1/4 mile of a transit service, or addresses an obvious pedestr footpath on a residential collector or higher	rian
		15	Connections: Project must be within 1/4 mile of activity centers, high-density residential, or government facilities	
		10	Benefit/Cost Effectiveness Score / LAPP Cost	Scale to be created based on projects received
ansit Effectiveness	50			
		5	Safety & Security The proposed project must address a documented safety or security concern or p Rider Experience	policy.
Project Phase Multiplier CON = 100% of Score ROW= 50% of Score PE/NEPA= 10% of Score	core	10	The proposed project must improve or enhance the rider experience. Connectivity	
		$\frac{1}{2}$	Directly connects the transit user with other modes, routes, systems, or destination	
		10	Improves Facilities # average daily ridership anticipated in 12 months after improvement	Scale to be created based on projects received
		10	Reliability Improvements (travel time after improvement – travel time before improvement) x # average daily ridership anticipated in 12 months after improvement	Scale to be created based on projects received
		10	Benefit/Cost Effectiveness Score / LAPP Cost	Scale to be created based on projects received
ocal Priority	10		Local agencies are given 15 points to distribute amongst projects submitted. No project can receive less than 1 point; no project can receive more than 10 point	nts.
anning Consistency padway	10		1st horizon year MTP = 10 points 2nd horizon year MTP = 5 points	
	10 On-Road / Off Bicycle	f Road	Statewide = 10 points Regional = 5 points Local = 3 points	
	Sidewalk		In Local Plan = 5 points	
anning Consistency ansit	10) points points
ior Agency Funding	10			ed in prior funding for local govt ed rchanges, ITS, Safety projects) will not count against prior funding where project is located rtified estimates used to determine
DTALS			·	f Total ansit
	Highway Effeo Bike/Ped Effe	ctiveness	50 62.50% 0.00% 0.0 0.00% 50 62.50% 0.0	00% 00%
	Transit Effecti Local Priority	iveness	0.00% 0.00% 50 62. 10 12.50% 10 12.50% 10 12.	50% 50%
	Planning Con Prior Agency			50% 50%
L				