

# Wake County Transit Plan

## TPAC Planning and Prioritization Subcommittee Meeting

**May 22, 2018  
1:30 - 3:30 PM**

---

### Discussion Items:

- 1) Welcome and Introductions – 5 minutes
- 2) ITEM ADDED: Open up window for nominations and elections of Chair and Vice Chair at the June P&P Meeting. TPAC Administrator will coordinate with current co chairs to send out communication through weekly update to solicit nominations at the June P&P meeting.
- 3) Cycle Schedule for Updating the Wake Transit Vision Plan – 75 minutes
  - a. The Governance ILA basically leaves this as a blank slate to be defined.
  - b. CAMPO is the lead agency to lead the implement the development of each cycle of the vision plan.
  - c. Town of Cary already recognizes a need for an interim update
  - d. CAMPO staff have stated in the past to potentially align with the MTP update cycle.
  - e. Does the vision need to be a 10-year horizon? Or should it be longer? Shorter? This should be considered when developing the process for a vision plan
    - i. Should wait for defining what a horizon year should be after at least another year of project development processes through Wake Transit Work Plan development.
  - f. Erik & Kelly to review/compile notes from this meeting regarding this topic – will distribute to partners to have internal discussions – revisit and has out those internal discussions at the June P&P meeting.
- 4) Bus Acquisition Plans – Coordination between agencies – 15 minutes
  - a. Purpose is due to the need for smoothing the cost curve in the financial model for bus acquisition.
  - b. Wake Bus Plan will help to determine bus acquisition plans
  - c. SPOT consideration needs to be revisited. State funding assumptions were taken out of the model, but partners have encouraged revisiting the need to have transit agencies submitting for additional funding.

- d. Expanded discussion need for Fall/Winter Work Task List (awaiting Bus Plan finalization)
- 5) Land Use Area Plans – Eligibility Discussion with Wake Transit Programming – 20 minutes
- a. Question here – is there anything that needs to be put into writing as a common set of expectations that should be put together defining eligibility surrounding land use area plans associated with transit operating/capital projects
  - b. Discussion surrounding as to whether or not jurisdictions need to provide some type of local match. Split preference in room – Raleigh has set precedence to compromise and provide a match on a land use area plan that will be impacted by fixed guideway public transit. Town of Cary has stated that it would prefer that Wake Transit should fully fund such plans
  - c. May be beneficial to setup some guidelines for municipalities/jurisdictions to review before submitting projects – may be specific to corridor/land use area plans – but could be generalized too (as well as also more specific on other project concepts/topics).
  - d. Tim & Bret will work together to develop a set of points to help aid in furthering this discussion in June.
- 6) Set Next Agenda – 5 minutes