

Apex Pleasant Park Access Study – RFQ Questions & Responses

Date Posted: November 3, 2025

RFQ Title: Apex Pleasant Park Access Study

The following questions were submitted in response to the Apex Pleasant Park Access Study. Answers are provided below. Where applicable, clarifications or updates to the RFQ are noted.

Question 1: What do we do if we don't think we can meet the budget with what you're asking for?

Answer: Your submittal should include any elements that you think will accomplish the goal and are still within budget.

Question 2: How flexible are you in identification of additional alternative locations to study, outside of the 5 listed in the RFQ?

Answer: Additional/supplemental locations can be identified as part of the study process.

Question 3: Could you please confirm only 1 electronic (PDF) copy submitted via email to caleb.allred@campo-nc.us is required for the final submittal?

Answer: You are correct.

Question 4: The scope references “conceptual planning-level design” among the factors to be considered but later notes that functional or preliminary design is not expected. Could you please clarify whether conceptual planning-level design tasks are intended to be part of this scope of services, or if the focus will remain on planning and evaluation only?

Answer: The intent is to focus on planning and evaluation.

Question 5: Do we need to be a registered vendor with the Town of Cary before we can submit in response to the RFQ?

Answer: As per the RFQ, the firm only needs to be a registered vendor with Town of Cary in the event we select your firm to contract with for the study. The RFQ asks you to provide your Town of Cary vendor number if available. If you do not have a Town of Cary vendor ID number, then please note in your cover letter that you acknowledge that you will need to become a registered vendor with Town of Cary prior to entering a contract with CAMPO.

Question 6: What level of environmental review do you envision for this project?

Answer: This project is intended to be a Planning/GIS level analysis.

Question 7: What is the expectation of general public involvement vs. focused stakeholder engagement?

Answer: The review team expects the selected consultant to recommend an approach that will be both financially feasible and contextually appropriate given the scope of the study.

Question 8: Is it acceptable to use a font size smaller than 11-point on tables and captions?

Answer: Per RFQ page 5 all fonts shall be 11pt.

Question 9: Can you please clarify what we need to do for the description of current projects in section 5 - General Information? Due to the tight page limit, we don't know if we will be able to describe all our current projects. Alta and our subconsultant have hundreds of ongoing projects.

Answer: Provide examples of relevant projects that you believe best showcase your qualifications. This can include links to the project website and description of the relevance to this RFQ.