



## U.S. 1 COUNCIL OF PLANNING MEETING

**May 1, 2023**

**2:00 PM**

**Webex Virtual Meeting**

### MEETING SUMMARY

Attendees		
MEMBERS	AGENCY	E-MAIL ADDRESS
Tim Gardiner – Chair	Wake County	<a href="mailto:Tim.Gardiner@wakegov.com">Tim.Gardiner@wakegov.com</a>
Scott Hammerbacher – Vice Chair	Franklin County	<a href="mailto:shammerbacher@franklincountync.gov">shammerbacher@franklincountync.gov</a>
Kenneth Withrow	CAMPO	<a href="mailto:kenneth.withrow@campo-nc.us">kenneth.withrow@campo-nc.us</a>
Bradley Kimbrell	City of Raleigh	<a href="mailto:Bradley.kimbrell@raleighnc.gov">Bradley.kimbrell@raleighnc.gov</a>
Melanie Rausch	GoRaleigh	<a href="mailto:melanie.rausch@raleighnc.gov">melanie.rausch@raleighnc.gov</a>
Erin Klinger	Youngsville	<a href="mailto:eklinger@townofyoungsville.org">eklinger@townofyoungsville.org</a>
Paul Black	GoTriangle	<a href="mailto:pblack@gotriangle.org">pblack@gotriangle.org</a>
Christopher George	Franklinton	<a href="mailto:cgeorge@franklintonnc.us">cgeorge@franklintonnc.us</a>
<b>GUESTS/INVITED AGENCIES</b>		
Jason Rogers	Franklin County	<a href="mailto:jrogers@franklincountync.gov">jrogers@franklincountync.gov</a>
Kevin Kidd	City of Raleigh	<a href="mailto:Kevin.Kidd@raleighnc.gov">Kevin.Kidd@raleighnc.gov</a>
Bobby Brantly	Guest	
Dr. Mary L. Young	Guest	
<b>CAMPO COP Staff</b>		
Gaby Lawlor	CAMPO	<a href="mailto:Gaby.lawlor@campo-nc.us">Gaby.lawlor@campo-nc.us</a>

### **Welcome/Introductions**

Tim Gardiner, Chair, called the meeting to order.

### **Adjustments to the Agenda**

- Gaby Lawlor informed the group that the meeting minutes from the past two meetings are not ready. This item was tabled until the next meeting.

### **Old Business**

- None

### **New Business**

- 5644 NC HWY 96 in Franklin County
  - Jason Rogers, Franklin County, presented an overview of the project details.

- Information about the development was provided as Attachment 1. Mr. Rogers noted that the development is proposed in the northeast quadrant of NC HWY 96 at Long Mill Rd, just west of US 1. Mr. Rogers explained that there is a building for truck loading purposes located on the parcel that was built without permission. The owner of the site will be required to make improvements to the site, in conjunction with other updates they plan to make, this includes construction of a backage road – this is consistent with the US 1 corridor plans: a ten-foot pave side path along Long Mill Rd; and a right-turn lane off Long Mill Rd into the site. The NCDOT District office approved a driveway permit for the site and has found the site plan consistent with the U-5307 project.
- Tim Gardiner stated that it appears the site configuration is consistent with the US 1 corridor plans. Mr. Gardiner asked if there is a reason that the backage road is laid out at the angle being shown. Scott Hammerbacher explained that the roadway configuration follows the U-5307 plans and that it was done this way to facilitate how it connects to other roadways further north.

**Action:** A motion was made by Kenneth Withrow, seconded by Christopher George, for a finding of consistency with the US 1 corridor plans. The motion carried unanimously.

- Amavi Subdivision in the City of Raleigh
  - Kevin Kidd, City of Raleigh, presented an overview of the project details.
  - Information about the development was provided in Attachments 2, 3, and 4. The City of Raleigh brought this project before the Council previously, but the applicant has made changes to the configuration of the site layout and they City wants to discuss the changes with the group. Mr. Kidd explained that the applicant is working through preliminary plan review and not working on permits yet.
  - Mr. Kidd explained that a change to the site layout includes removal of the connection directly to US 1, where the Gresham Lake Rd overpass would align. There is an approximately 1200-foot gap between US 1 and Street C, which will become the extension of Triangle Town Blvd. The applicant removed that connection; however, they are proposing to complete the connection to the south, Triangle Town Blvd, which they had not included in prior site configurations. Access for neighborhoods to the north will be improved via connection to Jacqueline Lane. The third access point will not come until the Gresham overpass is constructed.
  - Mr. Gardiner asked whether the City of Raleigh has the authority to ask the applicant to do everything possible to make the overpass at Gresham Lake Rd work. Mr. Kidd responded that the City can not legally require that, and explained that given where the NCDOT currently stands with the U-5307 project (i.e. construction drawings for this section of US 1 have not been completed), staff is not comfortable asking the developer for ROW because the location/alignment is uncertain.
  - Mr. Gardiner asked whether the applicant has coordinated with Terry Farr's group. Mr. Kidd responded that it was around February when they last touched base with the NCDOT project team working on U-5307, and the City was not in favor of this project making the direct connection to US 1 at the time. This is because a Y-profile and elevations are necessary to know what the configuration will look like at the US 1/Gresham Lake Rd crossing, and Raleigh does not want to complicate construction of the overpass in the future. Mr. Gardiner asked whether ROW along US 1 is being preserved. Mr. Kidd responded that City of Raleigh staff would ask for the amount of ROW necessary based on the design that NCDOT is showing at this location, but the amount that the applicant is dedicating is substantially more than enough.
  - Mr. Gardiner asked what the cross-section/ROW is for the road through the site (Triangle Town Blvd.). Mr. Kidd stated that it is an 81-foot wide ROW dedication through the site. Regarding bicycle and pedestrian accommodations – the applicant was asked to provide a

sidewalk and bike lane because it was determined to be more beneficial than a multi-use path. This is partially due to grading challenges.

- Mr. Gardiner asked for clarification of what the City of Raleigh is asking from the Council of Planning at today's meeting – taking action or just reviewing changes to the site plan configuration for feedback? Mr. Kidd explained that the City just wanted to keep the Council in the loop, and to ensure that the group is in agreement that the ROW and site layout is acceptable as shown because there will be limited ability to make modifications to ROW and site layout in future City reviews of this project. Mr. Kidd explained that the only thing the City has asked for the applicant to provide that is not shown on the site plan is to set up the proper stubs and allow for possibility of including a roundabout, and slope easements. Mr. Gardiner agreed that those matters are important to supporting connectivity and suggested that the City confirm that they are included.

**No action requested.**

### **Other Business**

- Mr. Gardiner inquired about the status of CAMPO staff discussion with NCDOT Rail regarding coordination for the S-Line project. Gaby Lawlor explained that CAMPO staff was in the process of setting a meeting with NCDOT Rail to discuss the possibility of a joint effort, and would report back to the group once that discussion occurred.
- Mr. Gardiner mentioned that sections B, C, and D of the U-5307 project have been pushed back in the STIP and are no longer planned for construction within the next 5-10 years. He called this matter to the Council's attention and that there should be updates to the US 1 linework as progress is made going forward.

### **Adjourn**

There being no further business, Mr. Gardiner adjourned the meeting.