

**Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Campaign of NC
2007 North Carolina Hispanic Teen Pregnancies: Ages 15-19**

| | Pregnancies | Population | Rate (per 1,000) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| NORTH CAROLINA | 3,166 | 18,909 | 167.4 |
| BURKE | 35 | 116 | 301.7 |
| DURHAM | 154 | 583 | 264.2 |
| BRUNSWICK | 25 | 102 | 245.1 |
| WAYNE | 64 | 264 | 242.4 |
| HENDERSON | 60 | 258 | 232.6 |
| LENOIR | 20 | 87 | 229.9 |
| BUNCOMBE | 54 | 253 | 213.4 |
| ROBESON | 59 | 278 | 212.2 |
| LEE | 57 | 271 | 210.3 |
| MECKLENBURG | 450 | 2182 | 206.2 |
| CABARRUS | 86 | 433 | 198.6 |
| WAKE | 350 | 1804 | 194.0 |
| FORSYTH | 180 | 934 | 192.7 |
| GUILFORD | 140 | 729 | 192.0 |
| ALAMANCE | 86 | 467 | 184.2 |
| WILSON | 39 | 212 | 184.0 |
| JOHNSTON | 90 | 500 | 180.0 |
| NASH | 28 | 156 | 179.5 |
| DUPLIN | 52 | 290 | 179.3 |
| NEW HANOVER | 33 | 187 | 176.5 |
| CHATHAM | 34 | 210 | 161.9 |
| SURRY | 37 | 234 | 158.1 |
| ROCKINGHAM | 21 | 133 | 157.9 |
| HOKE | 22 | 141 | 156.0 |
| DAVIDSON | 49 | 337 | 145.4 |
| GASTON | 46 | 320 | 143.8 |
| YADKIN | 20 | 141 | 141.8 |
| ROWAN | 44 | 311 | 141.5 |
| ORANGE | 36 | 255 | 141.2 |
| PENDER | 12 | 87 | 137.9 |
| SAMPSON | 47 | 341 | 137.8 |
| IREDELL | 34 | 257 | 132.3 |
| CATAWBA | 40 | 326 | 122.7 |
| UNION | 64 | 532 | 120.3 |
| MOORE | 20 | 167 | 119.8 |
| HARNETT | 36 | 314 | 114.6 |
| RANDOLPH | 59 | 543 | 108.7 |
| CUMBERLAND | 88 | 887 | 99.2 |
| ONSLow | 47 | 479 | 98.1 |
| LINCOLN | 23 | 247 | 93.1 |
| PITT | 20 | 252 | 79.4 |
| CRAVEN | 15 | 132 | * |
| FRANKLIN | 15 | 125 | * |
| VANCE | 15 | 87 | * |
| MONTGOMERY | 14 | 153 | * |
| COLUMBUS | 12 | 52 | * |
| GREENE | 12 | 70 | * |
| MACON | 12 | 28 | * |
| BEAUFORT | 11 | 63 | * |
| GRANVILLE | 11 | 91 | * |

**Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Campaign of NC
2007 North Carolina Hispanic Teen Pregnancies: Ages 15-19**

| | Pregnancies | Population | Rate (per 1,000) |
|--------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| RICHMOND | 11 | 70 | * |
| WILKES | 11 | 106 | * |
| BLADEN | 10 | 50 | * |
| CALDWELL | 9 | 84 | * |
| JACKSON | 9 | 36 | * |
| MCDOWELL | 9 | 44 | * |
| CLEVELAND | 8 | 88 | * |
| DAVIE | 8 | 67 | * |
| RUTHERFORD | 8 | 64 | * |
| STANLY | 8 | 83 | * |
| ALEXANDER | 7 | 41 | * |
| HAYWOOD | 7 | 32 | * |
| CARTERET | 6 | 43 | * |
| WARREN | 6 | 20 | * |
| WATAUGA | 6 | 39 | * |
| ALLEGHANY | 5 | 33 | * |
| DARE | 5 | 31 | * |
| MARTIN | 5 | 26 | * |
| PASQUOTANK | 5 | 41 | * |
| PERSON | 5 | 30 | * |
| EDGECOMBE | 4 | 79 | * |
| ANSON | 3 | 12 | * |
| ASHE | 3 | 14 | * |
| CASWELL | 3 | 19 | * |
| MITCHELL | 3 | 14 | * |
| TRANSYLVANIA | 3 | 24 | * |
| AVERY | 2 | 13 | * |
| CHEROKEE | 2 | 11 | * |
| GRAHAM | 2 | 5 | * |
| HALIFAX | 2 | 22 | * |
| TYRRELL | 2 | 5 | * |
| YANCEY | 2 | 20 | * |
| BERTIE | 1 | 9 | * |
| CLAY | 1 | 5 | * |
| CURRITUCK | 1 | 18 | * |
| HYDE | 1 | 6 | * |
| POLK | 1 | 15 | * |
| WASHINGTON | 1 | 5 | * |
| CAMDEN | 0 | 18 | * |
| CHOWAN | 0 | 21 | * |
| GATES | 0 | 3 | * |
| HERTFORD | 0 | 15 | * |
| JONES | 0 | 15 | * |
| MADISON | 0 | 14 | * |
| NORTHAMPTON | 0 | 5 | * |
| PAMLICO | 0 | 7 | * |
| PERQUIMANS | 0 | 3 | * |
| SCOTLAND | 0 | 19 | * |
| STOKES | 0 | 32 | * |
| SWAIN | 0 | 12 | * |

Note: rates based on fewer than 20 cases are statistically unreliable and are not presented here.