

**2013 North Carolina Adolescent Pregnancies  
Ages 15-17, by Race/Ethnicity and County**

	<b>Total Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>White Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>African American Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>Other Non- Hispanic Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>Hispanic Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>	<b>3,066</b>	<b>16.6</b>	<b>1,086</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>1,225</b>	<b>25.5</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>637</b>	<b>30.5</b>
<b>ALAMANCE</b>	43	14.4	18	*	17	*	0	0	8	*
<b>ALEXANDER</b>	10	*	8	*	0	0	0	0	2	*
<b>ALLEGHANY</b>	4	*	3	*	0	0	0	0	1	*
<b>ANSON</b>	14	*	3	*	11	*	0	0	0	0
<b>ASHE</b>	10	*	10	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>AVERY</b>	5	*	5	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>BEAUFORT</b>	9	*	4	*	4	*	0	0	1	*
<b>BERTIE</b>	12	*	2	*	10	*	0	0	0	0
<b>BLADEN</b>	14	*	6	*	6	*	0	0	2	*
<b>BRUNSWICK</b>	25	15.9	13	*	8	*	0	0	3	*
<b>BUNCOMBE</b>	46	11.7	26	8.4	7	*	2	*	11	*
<b>BURKE</b>	28	17.3	23	17.9	3	*	1	*	1	*
<b>CABARRUS</b>	48	11.7	16	*	19	*	0	0	13	*
<b>CALDWELL</b>	34	21.1	29	21.1	2	*	0	0	3	*
<b>CAMDEN</b>	1	*	0	0	1	*	0	0	0	0
<b>CARTERET</b>	14	*	13	*	0	0	0	0	1	*
<b>CASWELL</b>	4	*	2	*	2	*	0	0	0	0
<b>CATAWBA</b>	38	12.9	18	*	8	*	1	*	10	*
<b>CHATHAM</b>	13	*	3	*	2	*	0	0	8	*
<b>CHEROKEE</b>	5	*	4	*	1	*	0	0	0	0
<b>CHOWAN</b>	6	*	0	0	6	*	0	0	0	0
<b>CLAY</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>CLEVELAND</b>	32	16.7	18	*	12	*	0	0	2	*
<b>COLUMBUS</b>	24	20.9	9	*	12	*	0	0	3	*
<b>CRAVEN</b>	32	18.6	8	*	18	*	2	*	4	*
<b>CUMBERLAND</b>	112	17.8	31	13.2	68	23.3	6	*	6	*
<b>CURRITUCK</b>	3	*	3	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>DARE</b>	9	*	6	*	0	0	0	0	3	*
<b>DAVIDSON</b>	49	15.1	28	11.0	9	*	1	*	11	*
<b>DAVIE</b>	11	*	10	*	1	*	0	0	0	0
<b>DUPLIN</b>	31	25.1	10	*	10	*	0	0	11	*
<b>DURHAM</b>	104	23.9	9	*	61	27.1	0	0	34	50.3
<b>EDGECOMBE</b>	34	31.7	6	*	26	39.0	0	0	2	*
<b>FORSYTH</b>	139	20.6	38	11.2	54	26.7	1	*	45	40.6

**2013 North Carolina Adolescent Pregnancies  
Ages 15-17, by Race/Ethnicity and County**

	<b>Total Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>White Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>African American Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>Other Non- Hispanic Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>Hispanic Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>
<b>FRANKLIN</b>	21	16.6	6	*	8	*	0	0	7	*
<b>GASTON</b>	58	14.2	34	11.9	16	*	1	*	7	*
<b>GATES</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>GRAHAM</b>	1	*	1	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>GRANVILLE</b>	24	22.5	9	*	13	*	0	0	2	*
<b>GREENE</b>	13	*	3	*	7	*	0	0	3	*
<b>GUILFORD</b>	142	14.8	34	7.6	80	22.1	4	*	24	28.2
<b>HALIFAX</b>	26	26.3	6	*	16	*	3	*	1	*
<b>HARNETT</b>	45	17.5	22	15.4	14	*	0	0	9	*
<b>HAYWOOD</b>	15	*	12	*	2	*	0	0	1	*
<b>HENDERSON</b>	28	15.1	20	13.5	3	*	0	0	5	*
<b>HERTFORD</b>	10	*	1	*	9	*	0	0	0	0
<b>HOKE</b>	23	23.4	2	*	9	*	7	*	5	*
<b>HYDE</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>IREDELL</b>	34	9.5	7	*	17	*	1	*	9	*
<b>JACKSON</b>	17	*	12	*	0	0	3	*	2	*
<b>JOHNSTON</b>	78	20.6	31	12.3	24	37.3	0	0	22	38.1
<b>JONES</b>	3	*	1	*	2	*	0	0	0	0
<b>LEE</b>	24	19.2	3	*	9	*	0	0	12	*
<b>LENOIR</b>	26	22.7	11	*	14	*	0	0	1	*
<b>LINCOLN</b>	19	*	14	*	2	*	0	0	3	*
<b>MCDOWELL</b>	13	*	8	*	0	0	0	0	5	*
<b>MACON</b>	10	*	9	*	1	*	0	0	0	0
<b>MADISON</b>	6	*	6	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>MARTIN</b>	6	*	2	*	3	*	0	0	1	*
<b>MECKLENBURG</b>	299	16.3	33	4.3	160	22.4	9	*	94	34.8
<b>MITCHELL</b>	5	*	4	*	0	0	0	0	1	*
<b>MONTGOMERY</b>	17	*	7	*	1	*	0	0	9	*
<b>MOORE</b>	29	17.8	14	*	10	*	0	0	5	*
<b>NASH</b>	43	22.1	11	*	24	27.5	0	0	8	*
<b>NEW HANOVER</b>	43	12.9	14	*	22	33.2	2	*	5	*
<b>NORTHAMPTON</b>	4	*	0	0	4	*	0	0	0	0
<b>ONSLow</b>	48	17.3	29	16.3	11	*	3	*	5	*
<b>ORANGE</b>	22	8.7	9	*	5	*	1	*	7	*
<b>PAMLICO</b>	1	*	1	*	0	0	0	0	0	0

**2013 North Carolina Adolescent Pregnancies  
Ages 15-17, by Race/Ethnicity and County**

	<b>Total Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>White Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>African American Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>Other Non- Hispanic Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>	<b>Hispanic Pregnancies</b>	<b>Rate per 1,000</b>
<b>PASQUOTANK</b>	11	*	7	*	3	*	0	0	1	*
<b>PENDER</b>	13	*	7	*	4	*	0	0	2	*
<b>PERQUIMANS</b>	3	*	1	*	2	*	0	0	0	0
<b>PERSON</b>	17	*	9	*	8	*	0	0	0	0
<b>PITT</b>	56	19.2	6	*	38	28.7	0	0	12	*
<b>POLK</b>	5	*	5	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>RANDOLPH</b>	59	20.2	44	20.1	3	*	2	*	10	*
<b>RICHMOND</b>	22	22.5	5	*	14	*	2	*	1	*
<b>ROBESON</b>	100	36.3	13	*	29	41.5	40	31.4	18	*
<b>ROCKINGHAM</b>	35	20.3	20	17.0	8	*	0	0	7	*
<b>ROWAN</b>	55	20.1	30	15.7	15	*	1	*	8	*
<b>RUTHERFORD</b>	21	16.7	12	*	7	*	0	0	2	*
<b>SAMPSON</b>	36	27.8	9	*	9	*	2	*	16	*
<b>SCOTLAND</b>	23	29.6	4	*	13	*	4	*	2	*
<b>STANLY</b>	17	*	11	*	4	*	1	*	1	*
<b>STOKES</b>	6	*	5	*	1	*	0	0	0	0
<b>SURRY</b>	15	*	11	*	3	*	0	0	1	*
<b>SWAIN</b>	9	*	5	*	0	0	3	*	1	*
<b>TRANSYLVANIA</b>	5	*	4	*	1	*	0	0	0	0
<b>TYRRELL</b>	1	*	0	0	1	*	0	0	0	0
<b>UNION</b>	51	9.4	23	5.8	14	*	2	*	12	*
<b>VANCE</b>	25	28.2	3	*	16	*	0	0	6	*
<b>WAKE</b>	210	10.8	39	3.6	101	20.5	1	*	68	27.8
<b>WARREN</b>	10	*	0	0	9	*	0	0	1	*
<b>WASHINGTON</b>	9	*	4	*	3	*	0	0	2	*
<b>WATAUGA</b>	3	*	1	*	1	*	0	0	1	*
<b>WAYNE</b>	48	20.6	14	*	26	31.3	1	*	7	*
<b>WILKES</b>	22	16.7	18	*	0	0	0	0	4	*
<b>WILSON</b>	44	28.1	8	*	24	34.2	0	0	12	*
<b>YADKIN</b>	4	*	4	*	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>YANCEY</b>	8	*	4	*	0	0	0	0	4	*

\*TECHNICAL NOTE: RATES BASED ON SMALL NUMBERS (FEWER THAN 20 CASES) ARE UNSTABLE AND ARE NOT REPORTED.

*Data Source: NC DHHS, Division of Public Health, State Center for Health Statistics*